

SLNC OFFICERS

CO-CHAIRS

Joseph Ferrell
Anthony Crump

VICE-CHAIR

Seamus Garrity

TREASURER

John Wingler

SECRETARY

Christine Kantner

**CITY OF LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA**



**SILVER LAKE NEIGHBORHOOD
COUNCIL**

Serving the Silver Lake
Community Since 2003

EMAIL: board@silverlakenc.org

PHONE: (323) 413-SLNC (7562)

MAIL: PO Box 26385, LA CA 90026

URL: www.silverlakenc.org

SILVER LAKE NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL BOARD MEMBERS

AT-LARGE REPS: Heather Carson / Amy Clarke / Charles Herman-Wurmfeld / Anne-Marie Johnson / David Modern / Kinoka Ogsbury

REGIONAL: (1) Helen De La Paz Dion & Joshua Gomez (2) Georgene Smith Goodin & Annie Sperling (3) Anthony Crump & Seamus Garrity (4) James Bigelow (5) Jeff Wayne & Christine Kantner (6) Matthew Desario & Joe Ferrell (7) Hope Taylor-Arnold & John Wingler

**URBAN DESIGN AND PRESERVATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE
MEETING MINUTES - APPROVED**

Wednesday August 12, 2015 6:30 pm

Citibank Community Room
2450 Glendale Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90039

1. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting began at 6:31 pm

Attending Committee Members:

- Scott Plante, Co-Chair
- Joe Ferrell, Co-Chair
- Barbara Ringuette
- Jerome Courshon
- Anne Marie Johnson
- Liza Temple
- Renee Nahum
- Carol Cetrone
- Jeff Wayne
- Cliff Towne
- Doug Tornquist
- Terry Jackson
- Ronald VanAmmers
- Christine Kantner
- Georgene Smith-Gooden
- Genelle LeVin
- Robert Lisauskas
- Rusty Millar
- Craig Collins

2. GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT

Anne-Marie Johnson said there is no date set but probably in September there will be a town hall hosted by the Government Affairs Committee and the Transportation Committee regarding the Rowena project. Every pertinent

City representative will be there. We want to give fair notice. We'll do very thorough outreach. The location will be the Ivanhoe Elementary School.

Barbara Ringuette asked can you reach out to commuters who use that road? Johnson said that she'd find out.

Robert Effie, a homeowner (address unclear, deleted), spoke about Mobility Plan 2035 and the future of transit in Los Angeles. This was approved by the majority of City Council members. Council Member O'Farrell gave a major endorsement. The goal is to eliminate deaths of pedestrians and bikers caused by auto drivers by 2035. Los Angeles has the highest group of these deaths in the United States. This particularly affects seniors. He applauds the votes of council members.

Christine Kantner said she wants to pick a date for the affordable housing town hall.

Jerome Courshon spoke about a Sunday meeting with the Council Member's office. We were asked to outreach to the community and compile list of top priorities along lines of street and sidewalk repair and tree trimming. He said he will send out an email in the next few days. We can have the community prioritize a list. This will be for Silver Lake and Council District 4 (CD4) only, not Los Feliz.

Renee Nahum asked it's CD4 and Silver Lake? Courshon said correct. All of region 6.

Effie said he did a study of Griffith Park Boulevard and conditions throughout the CD4 area that is available on compact disc. We can share this with you. Courshon said he will get the information. Effie said conditions are terrible for everyone. It's almost impossible for pedestrians because sidewalk conditions are so poor.

Co-Chair Scott Plante welcomed Georgene Smith-Gooden as a new Committee member.

3. PRESENTATIONS

A. LEED and CalGreen

Presentation by Liza Temple on the LEED system and CalGreen regulations

She is a former consultant for developers for green building practices, particularly for cases when the builder wanted to get their projects recertified.

What is LEED? It is a certification process for a building that stands for Leadership for Environment and Environmental Design (LEED). It was set up by an international non-governmental organization to communicate to the public the higher standards to be met.

What is CalGreen? This is the mandatory state building code set up in 2008. It is updated every few years. They are preparing for a new update now.

How are CalGreen and LEED related? In 2008, when CalGreen was created, people looked to LEED for guidance. They adopted quite a bit of the LEED standards. Then when CalGreen was implemented, it became the first state wide building code for green building practices. Thereafter LEED made its standards more rigorous.

She has a handout available for the LEED for homes process.

There are 8 categories including innovation and design, and locations and linkages. Projects receive more points for being close to existing transit hubs than away from them. These are sustainable sites with erosion control, storm water damage, etc.

For a compact development, the more dense, the more points as the negative impact on the environment is mitigated. Water efficiency and energy and atmosphere are also important categories.

Post positive impact by building a quality envelope and energy from renewable sources. Insulation, sidings, roofing materials, etc. are all important considerations. In Southern California, we want the envelope to reject heat, not absorb it. We want roofing that reflects heat.

Heating and cooling air inside of the envelope is also important. Venting is all about getting the air that you don't want inside out. So good venting, good heating, and good cooling are important.

Regarding materials and resources it's important to ask where does the lumber come from. Awareness and education are the final point.

Prerequisites are an indication of the most important measures. These are mandatory. If you have a water efficient project with solar panels, etc. but your heating and cooling are in the garage, you can't get certified.

Lastly, if going through CalGreen, how difficult is recertification? There are 18 prerequisites. See the handout for more information.

For water efficiency, you will need at least 3 points. If you meet CalGreen, it's automatic.

If you are meeting CalGreen codes, at end of the day, the LEED certification is so rigorous. It comes from a verification process. It's a plan check process with an inspector in the field to verify every single measure. This is a challenging process for builders. There are also silver and platinum level projects. These are very difficult to attain.

Johnson asked what is the impact of this? Does it prevent someone from selling? Does it lead to higher prices? Temple said yes because you're building a superior product.

Johnson asked if I'm a developer, I'm only required by the City to do particular things. I'm not required to build LEED projects? That's correct, said Temple. There are measures that CalGreen requires that LEED doesn't.

Renee: Nahum said the air quality of projects near freeways is bad. Those developers can't get certification, correct? Temple said that's not correct. Maybe in the future. In terms of acoustics and air quality controls, recertification is possible.

Jeff Wayne asked does LEED assign points to different categories? Can you lag points on some issues and meet them on others? Temple said yes. Wayne asked, what is point minimum? Temple said it's project specific.

Barbara Ringuette asked about Title 24? Temple said that Title 24 is separate but related to CalGreen. It takes a lot of input and puts it into a software program. Plante said it's complicated. Temple said they are related but not the same. Plante asked is the LA green code similar to the LEED silver level certification? Temple said no but close.

The CalGreen requirement for Tier One is similar to the LEED minimum. This applies to single family homes and high rises.

Co-Chair Joe Ferrell asked do older homes get grandfathered in as requirements rise? Temple said yes.

Johnson asked about affordable housing. Do developers get a break? Temple said yes. Johnson asked do they abuse that to create more units? Temple said there are so many different departments involved. There are checks and balances involved. Sometimes a LEED certification can be an umbrella for all requirements so people use it to streamline the process.

Johnson asked are developers given variances or slack if they assure that project will be LEED certified? Temple said not on height.

Ferrell said we have a couple of LEED projects in the neighborhood. They have Buzz Court on Rowena and a new project on Auburn. These are designed pretty well.

4. MOTIONS & RESOLUTIONS – Discussion and Possible Action

A.

1119 Sanborn Avenue

Quach

Case Number:

TBD

NC Region 5

Small Lot Proposal: 4 units adjacent to Circus of Books

20 minutes: 5 minutes presentation; 15 minutes Q&A

Representatives:

Alan, co-owner of the Urban Asset Group

Andrew Silvermeyer, architect

Gibert Del Mar, architect

This project is located at Sunset Junction next to Sanborn.

Andrew Silvermeyer, architect:

He said this is a challenging lot. It's a wedge lot with an alley. There are aerial maps in the handouts. There is El Pollo Loco on one side. It's a 7,696 sq ft. lot with 4 units.

With the alley, they can mitigate density by having parking in the alley so they shifted the entire plan. Referring to Sheet A-1-0, a corridor required for small lot subdivisions to take advantage of this.

There is a garden gate front entrance. The City does not take care of the alley. There is also a huge tree there. We wrapped the tree. It becomes a buffer with the neighborhood. We built around it. That is a focal point. Units have a third parking space, though not legal, thanks to the alley.

Each unit is 1650 sq ft. but they can go up much more than that. We wanted a repeatable unit. Module systems can be repeated. We could have also gone higher. The height is 32 feet 10 inches but could have gone to 45 feet.

There are set backs from Sanborn, 15 ft is required. We pushed the building forward. There are 7.5 ft setbacks because of the alley. The Planning Department said bringing the building forward at corner will be beneficial for the transition from residential to commercial.

We tried to come up with a design that permits a checker board pattern (see p.6 of documents). Scale is reduced in a checkerboard pattern. (sheet 8-5-0)

Regarding front doors, one of the units must have a front door into the street. We encourage a front door facing Sanborn. It was impossible to get a front door on Sanborn because we were prioritizing height and massing so there are balconies that face Sanborn.

The front door is 20 feet from the property line. There will be a bench there. They can leave their front doors open on the balcony.

(Note: Christine Kantner lives within 500 ft. She said that she will recuse herself from voting. Johnson said that the City Attorney said it will be questionable if board members step up or step out. Recusal doesn't change quorum unless Kantner leaves the meeting)

Was there a mailing done? Yes, to notify neighbors within 500 ft, said Cliff Towne.

A woman named Angela said we haven't received a notice or any information from the owner. We're trying our best to maintain property. He's done nothing to contact anyone. The geologic tests lasted over a week. I gave

them permission but they left doors open and let pets out. There is a lack of communication with property owners. He's not looking after our needs.

The management company didn't contact us until 2 days after the rent was due to let us know who to pay rent to. They threatened a fine. That's inappropriate. No one mentioned that this house is over 100 years old. Property is being torn down for benefit of one extra unit. People are now living there under rent control. She said she has 3 children. This will make the neighborhood less and less accessible for people like me.

Alan, the co-owner said I didn't know any of this was going on. It was contracted to the management company. Angela said, if you contract out, someone has to do over sight. Alan said this project is a milestone for us, our first ground up project. We are good people. I am shocked. This is something to address.

Johnson said there is a procedure of this Committee that is agreed to about notification. I feel uncomfortable moving forward because there wasn't proper notification. We're talking about people's livelihoods.

Johnson made a motion to table the discussion. Rusty Millar seconded.

VOTE to table until the next Committee meeting to permit due notice.

13-4-0-1

PASSED

Yes Votes:

Scott Plante
Joe Ferrell
Barbara Ringuette
Jerome Courshon
Anne Marie Johnson
Liza Temple
Renee Nahum
Carol Cetrone
Jeff Wayne
Doug Tornquist
Georgene Smith-Gooden
Genelle LeVin
Rusty Millar

No Votes:

Craig Collins
Terry Jackson
Robert Lisauskas
Cliff Towne

Abstentions:

None

Ineligible/Recusals:

Christine Kantner

Millar said the rent control issue must be addressed.

Gilbert Del Mar, an architect, said we made a best attempt to send out information. Alan said I will submit a statement about notice. Del Mar said I don't want anyone to think that we weren't trying to notify neighbors.

B.

3008-3012 Marathon

Case Number:

Schwanitz

TBD

NC Region 3, borders NC 4

Small Lot Proposal: 12 units adjacent to Silver Lake Boulevard
20 minutes: 5 minutes presentation; 15 minutes Q&A

Chris Schwanitz said this is our second visit. We've had quite a few meetings with neighbors. They got our contact information at the last meeting.

There is a house on Coronado. It was purchased with the current site on Marathon. The neighbors wanted to move or save the house. It didn't make sense financially to move it. The idea is to save the house and turn it into a café or something in return for a small lot project on other site. It would be a win-win for both sides.

We are at 14-unit project with the bare minimum number of units. There were 7 units along Silver Lake Boulevard and 7 units along the west side of site. Unit 8 was reoriented towards Marathon to break up the row and provide an experience from Marathon.

Regarding other changes, if you have the site plan, the concrete walks are now set back 13 feet from there. It will be landscaped. This will belong to the City. There is support from the community for not widening. There are already 7 mature trees there. In total it's almost 23 feet from the street, including the curb.

Regarding the indented areas around doors, we set back the 3rd floors by 5 feet. There is relief at the doorway and on the 3rd floor too. It's the same on Silver Lake and on the west side of the properties. This was a request from property owners.

Also, there have been some design changes for windows, varying between arches and rectangles. There are also now wooden doors. The roofs on the Marathon side are now tiled.

We have had a lot of interest in providing an access way along the Silver Lake side. Marathon street community members would appreciate that. We would have to move lot 14 up to the street. That could work if Silver Lake Boulevard could be downgraded to an Avenue 3, from an Avenue 2 by the City Council. We could then provide a 10-12 foot drive. Some community members said they'd like to start that process. However, it is a tall order to get a street downgraded, he said.

Diane, who lives on Marathon in a duplex facing the project, said you have done lots of meetings with us. She thanked him. It's not a bad thing. Some of design elements are great. Overall, we're talking about two lots with a single family home and an empty lot. We were presented with a 14 unit project vs. a 40-plus unit apartment building. It will dwarf us. We have concern about the number of units overall.

We use 5 mirrors already on marathon. My house is from the 1930s. I have to back out onto Marathon. That can take 5 minutes because of people who cut through the neighborhood. Having 14 units there will aggregate the problem. Do we want 3 a story project? Right now we get view and light and Vermont draw, a cool breeze. We'll lose that.

The number of units is an issue. What is the story about setbacks on Marathon Street? Schwanitz said we are dedicating 2 feet additionally. Diane said everything in the neighborhood is primarily 2 story structures. It's 3 stories with ground level parking and with a 4th floor implied by air conditioning. The house is over 100 years old. The financial pressure placed on this project is significant. Plante said you're robbing Peter to pay Paul.

Schwanitz said the reality of the blue house is that it offers the possibility to avoid a 5-story apartment building on this site. Without that discussion, both sites would be apartment buildings. The zoning is R3.

A Man said there are now basically 4 stories with the roof top decks. There are bottlenecks from Marathon. There is traffic every night. He praised Schwanitz for being accessible. What does downgrade entail, he asked?

Rusty Millar, representing the Transportation Committee, said that people making a right on Marathon going South on Silver Lake will be blocked up. Eastbound traffic will be caught up. The traffic light is short. It's going to be a nightmare. It's not good now but with this project having one egress point, will be worse. The downgrade request would have to go through the Transportation Department and the City Council.

Ringuette said I live within 500 ft. of the project. I have witnessed an accident at that corner. One vehicle rammed another and led to a chain reaction for four other cars. There has also been a pedestrian accident there. That corner should be re-evaluated by the Bureau.

What Schwanitz is proposing would indeed cause problems. I'm impressed that he wants to work with us. The first project that we saw tonight was four small lots. This is 14 units. It's helpful to look at density.

Plante noted the Glendale issue: the city decided to vacate that to widen Glendale. That's why that happened.

Johnson said the appearance of conflict is much higher for Board members. We must find out where the Committee members stand. I would have ruled out your Committee she said to Ringuette. We need an answer from the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment (DONE). Plante said I don't know what the ruling is.

Johnson said the ruling is different for Board members vs. Committee members. She can influence the vote. Board members can consult with the City Attorney. Committee members can't.

Frank, who lives at 1813 Silver Lake Boulevard, just around the corner from the project, said we've had two meetings with Schwanitz. I appreciate his openness. I agree that there are problems with Silver Lake Boulevard. That's a dangerous part of the road. It's a good idea that Schwanitz has been meeting with everyone.

Robbie, who also lives at 1813 Silver Lake Boulevard, said I would support condos over apartments. Another neighbor and architect spoke about condominiums versus apartments. He said the planning proposals are good. One point of access is better than multiple points. Silver Lake deserves good sensitive design and development. There is a level of sophistication so he expects Schwanitz to come up with good design that's appropriate. Regarding the Blue House, maybe that can't be demolished.

Are we required to widen street at Marathon, asked a Committee member? Schwanitz said we don't know yet. That would affect 5 trees. The total setback is 5 ft.

A Man who lives a mile away said he walks along Marathon. He objects to widening marathon. It would destroy the flavor of the neighborhood. It's a great idea to downgrade Silver Lake Boulevard, which is a nightmare. It's a freeway. This could lower speeds on Silver Lake. It's really scary for pedestrians and cyclists. Schwanitz said that he'd be supportive of that.

Temple asked about the widening on Silver Lake Boulevard. There would be a 13 foot dedication said Schwanitz.

Renee Nahum commented that the design and positioning are so boring. It's the most boring positioning. Can you come up with something more innovative? Schwanitz said we proposed a couple of other plans.

Regarding units 6, 13, etc., Millar asked how will cars get into garage? Schwanitz said there would be a minimum of 22 feet for cars at the narrowest point. There is plenty of room.

Johnson said I feel sorry for the residents who will be impacted. This is a lose-lose situation: apartment buildings or these condos. The City allows these horrific problems. Everyone has a hard-on for density. She blames the City. This is sad all the way around.

Carol Cetrone thanked Schwanitz for meeting extensively with neighbors and for listening to neighbors. The design has come a long way. How will things look from inside of the courtyard? Some committee members don't like top decks. Those boxes are a really bad idea. In this neighborhood more isn't necessarily more. People prefer quality to quantity. Rooftop decks are questionable.

Schwanitz spoke about the enclosed stairways. From the 3rd floor balconies then go up stairs to rooftop decks. We're looking at that. That would impact windows. He has thought about eliminating rooftop decks. We are looking at that.

Courshon asked what is the maximum height including stairs? Schwanitz said the top of the roof is 35 feet to the top of the enclosed stairs. Courshon suggested that if you could lose the rooftop decks that would help. Everything around there is 2 stories. What is the minimum amount of footage dedicated per home on property for this zoning? R3 so 1 for 800.

The planning of the project is really well done and sensitive to the neighborhood. Traffic will be mitigated by common courtyard driveway. People won't have to back into traffic.

A man named Greg said I would concur. We have a couple of different kinds of design concepts going on here. The doghouses on top feel kind of random. Get rid of them.

Ferrell asked you're saving the blue house? You can't move it? Schwanitz said it won't be knocked down. Ferrell said this is massive with 14 units. Rooftop decks should be eliminated. With the Blue House, you've flipped the burden onto the neighbors.

Schwanitz said we're not trying to be threatening. I didn't want to do any entitlements. This is our first deal in Silver Lake. We wanted to do a simple development. Farrell asked for this to work financially, you have to do 14 units? Schwanitz said yes. We had 42 units before.

Ferrell said there are 3 small lots priced at over \$1 million nearby. Schwanitz said that for these sizes, you won't get a \$1 million. They are getting \$1.1 million down the street for bigger units. These are for people who aren't millionaires.

Ferrell expressed concern that design aspects can be improved.

Cliff Towne said I want to thank you for your willingness to work with neighbors. You're an admirable man.

Plante said this is a complicated issue with the Blue House. There needs to be more study of articulation and roof decks. Plante made a motion to support the project but study articulation and roof decks.

Jerome Courshon said you're asking us to vote without knowing the outcome?

Plante said he's a small time operator. He wants a vote tonight.

Schwanitz said we want to know if we're going in this direction or not. I can't get rid of rooftop decks. Otherwise, there is no outdoor space. I couldn't do that. That would kill it. Plante said it's a complicated land use issue.

Georgene Smith-Gooden asked can we do straw poll first? Schwanitz said that taking off roof decks, he can't do that. We can maybe have stairs up to the roof decks. It might look terrible. He said he'll email it to people.

A Man asked can there be common stairs? Shared structures not allowed with small lots, said Schwanitz. We'll make concerted effort but this is a lot of time and a lot of money. There will be changes but we will explore stairs to the third story.

Nahum asked what if he doesn't get Committee approval? Johnson said you can't write the letter. Does he understand that? It won't happen until September or October. Does he know that? Plante said yes.

Courshon said he's for the motion. He asked can it say calling to support that as long as by the time of the governing board meeting, all changes will be made. Plante said yes.

Straw poll:

8 yes

2 no

VOTE

10-7-1
PASSED

Yes Votes:

Scott Plante
Barbara Ringuette
Liza Temple
Jeff Wayne
Cliff Towne
Terry Jackson
Christine Kantner
Georgene Smith-Gooden
Genelle LeVin
Craig Collins

No Votes:

Joe Farrell
Anne-Marie Johnson
Robert Lisauskas
Rusty Millar
Renee Nahum
Carol Cetrone
Doug Tornquist

Abstentions:

Jerome Courshon

C.

Historic Sunset Blvd.

Cetrone

Support a funding request for Sunset Blvd. historic feasibility study

Carol Cetrone, presenter

She is speaking about a neighborhood purposes grant from the Silver Lake Neighborhood Council to provide an historic survey of Sunset Boulevard. The goal is to designate it in the National Register of Historic Places. We would be conducting a survey of all property between Fountain and Beaudry with historian Charles Fisher. He's nominated over 100 buildings as Los Angeles historical monuments. We are seeking \$2500. The Echo Park Neighborhood Council has already voted to fund \$2500, their half. The whole thing is bundled under the Echo Park Historical Society.

Ferrell asked about the \$5,000 amount. Cetrone said this is the fee for Charlie Fisher. He normally charges \$1,500 for each building, versus 80 buildings for \$5,000 for us. That's a big discount.

A Man named Wes said there are 3 properties in Silver Lake that might be eligible for National status. There are things to preserve along Sunset. The city did commission a Galpin Associates survey. It concluded that there weren't enough structures. We need to preserve what we have in relation to the hillside. I understand the motivation behind this but perhaps it's misguided. The Department of the Interior is very picky. I'm leery of whether something useful will come from this. What will we get for \$2,500?

Cetrone said I spoke with the State office. Parts of Route 66 are already on the register. This is all about adaptive reuse, affordable housing, and keeping what we love about Silver Lake. We want to preserve the rich cultural history of Silver Lake. This is a feasibility study. Council District 13 and the Conservancy support this. They are upset about the City of Los Angeles's survey. It wasn't good. Towne said the political environment may have changed at the agency.

Wes said there were 6 very specific building types identified. These are not on Sunset Boulevard so this may not be applicable.

Cetrone said the goal of the project would be to have this section of Silver Lake called a National Historic District. Wes asked have you reached out to Council District 1 and Council Member Cedillo?

Cetrone said we're only looking at Silver Lake. We've been walking around with Mr. Fisher. There are old hotels that he has already identified as original roadside diners. Wes said maybe the money can be better spent elsewhere.

Plante made a motion to support the study. Courshon seconded the motion.

VOTE
15-3-0
PASSED

Yes Votes:

Scott Plante
Joe Ferrell
Barbara Ringuette
Jerome Courshon
Liza Temple
Renee Nahum
Carol Cetrone
Jeff Wayne
Cliff Towne
Doug Tornquist
Terry Jackson
Ronald VanAmmers
Christine Kantner
Georgene Smith-Gooden
Genelle LeVin
Craig Collins

No Votes:

Anne-Marie Johnson
Robert Lisauskas
Rusty Millar

Abstentions:

none

D.

Ellis Act

Smith-Gooden/Cetrone

Send a letter to Senator de Leon requesting work to revise the Ellis Act so as to promote the removal of affordable rental units from the market.

Georgene Smith-Gooden said that a few years ago there was a proposal to change it. That only applied to San Francisco. The Ellis Act is process by which landlords go out of business and evict their tenants. It's designed as a way for mom and pop businesses, but not developers, to evict tenants.

The State Senate is looking at it again. This is a letter to State Senator DeLeon. This needs to be re-evaluated. We are not offering recommendations.

Johnson said it's one of the best ways to protect affordable housing. This is one of the fastest and most efficient ways to protect rent control. Bravo!

Plante made a motion to support the letter. Courshon seconded the motion.

VOTE
18-0-0
PASSED

Smith-Gooden said the letter is crafted this way to be able to pass it on to other neighborhood councils. Can anyone work with me on this?

Christine Kantner, Carol Cetrone, and Scott Plante all volunteered.

Johnson said that before the next meeting can we receive a definitive ruling about non board members from DONE? That would be helpful.

Under the Ellis Act, don't they will have to pay relocation fees for evictions? Smith-Gooden said yes, however, it's not that much unless you're old or disabled.

E.

Signage Policy

Ringuette

Discuss signage policies along Sunset Blvd. and other streets within Silver Lake. Possible discussion of signage proposal for Shinola.

The Zoning Representative heard this yesterday. They are awaiting comment from our Silver Lake Committee. Any comments? This is for Shinola watch that's 48" high, a 4 foot high sign.

Ringuette said it sounded like something that hadn't happened here before. It's not huge. Perhaps it's larger than existing signs. It could be attractive. She expressed concern that other shops will repeat this. It might set a precedent.

Johnson asked is there an ordinance? Plante said it affects public right of way. This is not a variance. It's lit from behind. Plante said a digital billboard has been approved by the City above Spaceland.

Ringuette asked how we can promote local businesses. They are almost non-existent at this point. Plante said we should find someone who knows how to promote small businesses.

Nahum said how about a town hall meeting so people can decide what we want to attract and what we want to keep. Kantner said that only happens in a socialist economy. She said that she's upset about the loss of the pharmacy. Jonson said you voted for Whole Foods, the most evil corporation available. Smith-Gooden said small bodegas are going out of business as rents increase.

5. ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS

Review and Adoption of Minutes

Genelle LeVin has a spelling change.

Plante made the motion to approve the minutes. Johnson seconded.

VOTE
15-0-3
PASSED

Yes Votes:

Scott Plante
Joe Ferrell

Barbara Ringuette
Anne Marie Johnson
Renee Nahum
Carol Cetrone
Jeff Wayne
Cliff Towne
Doug Tornquist
Terry Jackson
Georgene Smith-Gooden
Genelle LeVin
Robert Lisauskas
Rusty Millar
Craig Collins

No Votes:

none

Abstentions:

Jerome Courshon
Christine Kantner
Liza Temple

Jerome said there is a dialogue with the Latitudes developer. The cement hillside is now being tagged. Ferrell said they are working with an artistic services company to do a mural. He said he's not sure if it's for the whole hill or just the wall. The company did the LA Special Olympics. This will be graffiti resistant. Plante added they do high quality graffiti art that taggers respect. It won't get tagged. Courshon expressed concern about dirt and greenery.

6. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting ended at 8:53pm.