

June 6, 2018 SLNC Board Meeting Supplemental Document

6.1.

Date: May 31, 2018

Committee/Requestor: Treasurer

Text of Motion: Recommends that the Silver Lake Neighborhood Council reallocate seven thousand, twenty-four dollars and 25 cents (\$7,024.25) from Community Improvement to Outreach and to Neighborhood Purpose Grants.

Committee Vote: (WRITE RECOMMENDED or NOT RECOMMENDED with VOTE COUNT)

Describe the event or project in detail:

The Treasurer recommends to reallocate six thousand and twenty four dollars and 25 cents (\$6,024.25) to Outreach and to reallocate the remaining one thousand dollars (\$1,000) to Neighborhood Purpose Grants.

What is the timeline for the project?

Upon approval of the board

What is the most important item about this motion?

Proper use and reallocation of our funds.

Please explain how this motion benefits the SLNC and Silver Lake:

What is the total budget for the project? From which budget line are the funds to be drawn? If this is a funding motion, please submit a completed Funding Request Form to the Treasurer at least 3 days before the Governing Board Meeting.

N/A

How will this motion be implemented, and by whom?

If the motion is supported by the SLNC

Committee's discussion from the Committee meeting minutes:

(INSERT RELEVANT PORTIONS OF THE MEETING MINUTES)

7.1.

Date: May 31, 2018

Committee/Requestor: Anne-Marie Johnson

Text of Motion: Re: SLNC Bylaw Article V, sec 8 (Censure), to approve DONE's recommended language to the board previously approved amendment.

Committee Vote: (WRITE RECOMMENDED or NOT RECOMMENDED with VOTE COUNT)

Describe the event or project in detail:

DONE is in the process of approving the submitted amendment to SLNC Bylaw Art. V, sec 8, but the process cannot be completed until the board approves the following suggested language: "Any board member may submit a Motion To Censure regarding a fellow board member or committee co-chair to the **Co-Chairs** of the Silver Lake Neighborhood Council.

Once the **Co-Chairs** have been notified of a proposed censure, the **Co-Chairs** shall seek the advice of the City Attorney. If the City Attorney advises a censure would be appropriate, the **Co-Chairs** shall draft the Motion To Censure, to be placed on the next regularly scheduled board meeting. The motion must:

a.) identify the Board member or committee co-chair in question; b.) describe in detail the reason for the Censure; and c.) identify the provision of the Code Of Civility that was alleged to be violated.

A Motion To Censure against a Board member or committee co-chair can be approved by two-thirds (2/3rd's) of those voting once quorum is met. The first Censure could result in the board member or committee co-chair to execute an acknowledgement of the censure written by the **Co-Chairs** and approved by the City Attorney. The second Censure of a board member could result in removal from the board. (subject to the procedures of sec 9 for a board member). The second Censure of a committee co-chair could result in the revocation of the co-chair's chairmanship. Both removals are subject to a three-quarter (3/4) vote of approval by the board, of those voting once quorum is met."

What is the timeline for the project?

(Upon approval of the board and DONE

What is the most important item about this motion?

The word 'Liaison' must be removed and replaced with "Co-Chairs" due to the fact the city has now made all board co-chairs Liaisons to the City Attorney.

Please explain how this motion benefits the SLNC and Silver Lake:

Is required by DONE

What is the total budget for the project? From which budget line are the funds to be drawn? If this is a funding motion, please submit a completed Funding Request Form to the Treasurer at least 3 days before the Governing Board Meeting.

N/A

How will this motion be implemented, and by whom?

DONE once approved

Committee's discussion from the Committee meeting minutes:

(INSERT RELEVANT PORTIONS OF THE MEETING MINUTES)

9.1.

Date: May 25, 2018

Committee/Requestor: SLNC Transportation & Neighborhood Safety Committee

Text of Motion:

The Committee requests that a letter of support be drafted by SLNC Board Co-Chair, Anne-Marie Johnson to Councilman Ryu asking that the City Attorney consider filing a lawsuit to require that the WAZE App allow for exclusion of streets which have been shown to have a negative impact and a continual threat to the quality of life in Los Angeles neighborhoods.

Committee Vote: RECOMMENDED with unanimous vote (10-0).

Describe the event or project in detail:

The Committee has had multiple complaints about increased neighborhood street traffic activity due to the WAZE App. Efforts to exclude selected streets from the APP have been unsuccessful in Los Angeles. Councilman Ryu has indicated that he may request that the City Attorney file of lawsuit to get WAZE to provide for street specific exclusions on their APP. A letter of support to Councilman Ryu was recommended at our last meeting.

What is the timeline for the project?

The letter of support should be submitted as soon as possible.

What is the most important item about this motion?

To provide a constructive action to potentially relieve the negative impact of the WAZE APP on our neighborhood streets.

Please explain how this motion benefits the SLNC and Silver Lake:

The potential to improve traffic and pedestrian safety on multiple streets in our community.

What is the total budget for the project? From which budget line are the funds to be drawn? If this is a funding motion, please submit a completed Funding Request Form to the Treasurer at least 3 days before the Governing Board Meeting.

No SLNC budget impact.

How will this motion be implemented, and by whom?

Anne-Marie Johnson will draft the letter of support and it will be sent upon approval by the Board.

Committee's discussion from the Committee meeting minutes:

May 23, 2018 Minutes

The Committee unanimously approved a motion to ask Silver Lake Neighborhood Council (SLNC) Board to approve a letter of support (to be drafted by Co-Chair, Anne-Marie Johnson) to Councilman Ryu asking that the City Attorney consider filing a lawsuit to require that the WAZE App allow for exclusion of streets which have been shown to have a negative impact and a continual threat to the quality of life in Los Angeles neighborhoods.

Draft Of Letter:

To: Councilman David Ryu

Regarding: Support for legal action against WAZE

Dear Councilman David Ryu:

The Silver Lake Neighborhood Council supports your request to the Los Angeles City Attorney to consider taking legal action against way finding app WAZE.

As with other residential communities across the country, Silver Lake has been under assault by the alarming increase in motorist using WAZE to bypass major thoroughfares and use our narrow residential streets, with little to no regard for the safety of Silver Lake residents. All one needs to do is observe the unrelenting vehicular traffic on Baxter, Duane, N. Occidental, Waverley, Lakewood, Angus, Kennilworth, and other narrow residential streets throughout Silver Lake during morning and afternoon rush hours to realize how out of control this safety hazard is.

City transportation agencies, elected officials and a myriad community representatives have tried to work with WAZE to find solutions to these concerns to no avail. As stated in your April 17, 2018 letter to City Attorney Mike Feuer, it "has become clear that any or all legal options should be considered."

We support you and your request and hope your fellow council members follow your lead.

Sincerely,

Silver Lake Neighborhood Council

CC:
City Attorney Michael Feur
Los Angeles City Council

9.2.

Date: May 25, 2018

Committee/Requestor: SLNC Transportation & Neighborhood Safety Committee

Text of Motion:

The SLNC Transportation & Neighborhood Safety Committee recommends that the Silver Lake Neighborhood Council submit the attached Community Impact Statement for Council File 17-1311 to implement recommendations of the State of the Street Related Infrastructure Programs in Los Angeles Report

Committee Vote: RECOMMENDED with unanimous vote (10-0).

Describe the event or project in detail:

At the Committee's May 23, 2018 Meeting, a presentation was made by Laila Alequresh, Executive Advisor, Office of the Chief Administrative Officer, City of Los Angeles on the Evaluation of the State of Street Related Infrastructure Programs in Los Angeles Report & Recommendations. This Report is the result of the Mayor & Councils desire to improve the delivery of public works services. The presentation showed the interrelatedness of providing street services (above ground-transportation/mobility & underground-electric, water, sewer) and how management is decentralized and uncoordinated (DWP, Public Works Board, Taxi & Transportation Commissions). The Report provides a detailed review of the state of street services in Los Angeles, a survey of Residents and nationwide benchmarking and best practice options to consider. Recommendations include a consolidation of the Department of Transportation under the Public Works Board and development of improved management systems to provide coordination of all City Services.

What is the timeline for the project?

The CIS should be submitted to the City Council as soon as possible.

What is the most important item about this motion?

This report provides an opportunity to dramatically improve City Services based on a thoughtful and thorough evaluation of Los Angeles City Street Services

Please explain how this motion benefits the SLNC and Silver Lake:

Like all communities in Los Angeles, Silver Lake requires continual improvements to our streets. We have all experienced the frustration of delayed street maintenance and repairs and the difficulty in communicating with and getting responses from different City departments. This Report's findings and recommendations could dramatically improve the state of our streets by consolidating management oversight and developing analytical tools to improve the quality and timeliness of the provision of City services.

What is the total budget for the project? From which budget line are the funds to be drawn? If this is a funding motion, please submit a completed Funding Request Form to the Treasurer at least 3 days before the Governing Board Meeting.

No SLNC budget impact.

How will this motion be implemented, and by whom?

If the motion is supported by the SLNC, the CIS will be submitted electronically to the City Clerk.

Committee's discussion from the Committee meeting minutes:

May 23, 2018 Minutes

The Committee unanimously approved a motion to ask Silver Lake Neighborhood Council (SLNC) Board to approve and submit a Community Impact Statement for File CF 17-1311: Presentation and Possible Motion/Action on "State of Street Related Infrastructure Programs in Los Angeles." The report details recommendations to improve Los Angeles' street-related infrastructure and associated programs. Link to full report: http://clkrep.lacity.org/online/docs/2017/17-1311_rpt_CAO_11-21-17.pdf

COMMUNITY IMPACT STATEMENT

Council File: 17-1311

June 2018

**Honorable City Council
c/o Office of the City Clerk
Los Angeles City Hall
200 N. Spring Street, Room 395
Los Angeles, CA. 90012**

The Silver Lake Neighborhood Council (SLNC) requests that the following Community Impact Statement be added to Council File 17-1311 regarding Presentation and Possible Motion/Action on "State of Street Related Infrastructure Programs in Los Angeles." The report details recommendations to improve Los Angeles' street-related infrastructure and associated programs. Link to full report: http://clkrep.lacity.org/online/docs/2017/17-1311_rpt_CAO_11-21-17.pdf

The Silver Lake Neighborhood Council supports the Report's findings and recommendations and strongly urges that they be implemented in a timely fashion.

The SLNC learned about the report's findings and recommendations at the May 23, 2018, SLNC Transportation & Neighborhood Safety Committee Meeting based on a presentation and followup discussion by Laila Alequresh, the Report's author. Ms Alequresh's presentation showed the interrelatedness of providing street services (above ground-transportation/mobility & underground-electric, water, sewer) and how management is decentralized and uncoordinated (DWP, Public Works Board, Taxi & Transportation Commissions). The Report provides a detailed review of the state of street services in Los Angeles, a survey of Residents and nationwide benchmarking and best practice options to consider. Recommendations include a consolidation of the Department of Transportation under the Public Works Board and development of improved management systems to provide coordination of all City Services. If implemented these recommendations will improve coordination among City departments, deliver better services in a timely fashion, and improve relationships between Residents and City government.

Along with this approval, we request the City include and publicize an expedited schedule for review and implementation of the various elements addressed within CF 17-1311, as well as full and immediate disclosure of any elements not being pursued and why.

The Community Impact Statement is based on the action taken at the regularly scheduled Board of Directors meeting on June 7, 2018, when the Silver Lake Neighborhood Council adopted the following motion by a vote of ___yes, ___no, ___abstaining and directed that a CIS be filed reflecting its position.

Motion: “The Silver Lake Neighborhood Council urges the City Council to adopt the recommendations of the FUSE Report on the Evaluation of the State of the Street Related Infrastructure Programs in Los Angeles.

Sincerely,

9.3.

Date: May 25, 2018

Committee/Requestor: SLNC Transportation & Neighborhood Safety Committee

Text of Motion:

The SLNC Transportation & Neighborhood Safety Committee recommends that the Silver Lake Neighborhood Council submit the attached letter of support to ban mylar balloons. The letter should be sent to State and City elected representatives.

Committee Vote: RECOMMENDED with unanimous vote (10-0).

Describe the event or project in detail:

The letter of support is to bring attention and pass laws that will ban mylar balloons which cause multiple power outages, damage to electrical equipment and fires when they make contact with power lines. Efforts to regulate mylar balloons sales and usage have failed (SB1990).

What is the timeline for the project?

A letter of support should be submitted to state and city elected officials as soon as possible.

What is the most important item about this motion?

Bringing renewed attention to the mylar balloon issue and getting a ban into law.

Please explain how this motion benefits the SLNC and Silver Lake:

There was an extended power outage last year in Silver Lake which was a direct result of a mylar balloon. The outage lasted over 24 hours in some areas. The festive benefit of these balloons pales in comparison to the impact on our neighborhood and the ongoing cost of repairs to our City.

What is the total budget for the project? From which budget line are the funds to be drawn? If this is a funding motion, please submit a completed Funding Request Form to the Treasurer at least 3 days before the Governing Board Meeting.

No budget impact

How will this motion be implemented, and by whom?

If the motion is supported by the SLNC, the Transportation Committee Co-Chairs will work with the SLNC Board Co-Chairs to get the letter of support mailed and provide followup to see what action is taken by our elected officials.

Committee’s discussion from the Committee meeting minutes:

March 23, 2018 Meeting

Discussion prompted by the DWP presentation on the dangers of Mylar Balloons causing Power Outages by contacting power lines (See Fact Sheet). Motion Passed (unanimous) to Consider Mylar Balloon Ban in the City of LA Community Impact Statement for SLNC Board review.

May 23, 2018 Meeting

The Committee unanimously approved a motion to ask the Silver Lake Neighborhood Council (SLNC) Board to approve a letter of support (to be provided by this Committee) which would request support for new legislation to ban mylar balloons. It will be asked that the letter be sent to City & State representatives (Prior state legislation -AB 2709 on this issue did not get passed).

Proposed Mylar Balloon Silver Lake Neighborhood Council Letter of Support- May 2018

To SLNC Board selected City Council and State Elected Officials:

Mylar balloons, though festive, are enemies of power lines. Their silvery metallic coating is a conductor for electricity. When mylar balloons contact power lines, they can short transformers, cause power outages, melt an electrical wire and cause it to fall. They can even spark an electrical surge that potentially could destroy home electronics.

One of the most common causes of power outages in Los Angeles is mylar balloons coming into contact with power lines, causing more than 150 electrical service interruptions a year (see attached). There are thousands of mylar related power outages in California each year. In March 2016, a power outage caused by a mylar balloon, caused the Exxon Mobil refinery in Torrance to kick into emergency mode, causing 2 spectacular plumes of fire to light up the sky for 13 hours. Also, metallic balloons sparked fires in Butte & Tehama Counties that cost the state more than \$3 million to put out. Silver Lake experienced a mylar balloon power outage earlier this year.

Efforts to regulate mylar balloon sales and usage have failed. In 1990, SB 1990 was passed which provides warnings to consumers about the risks of allowing the balloons to float into the air is not working. State legislation introduced to ban metallic balloon sales in the state and encourage stores to carry rubber & plastic alternatives has been supported by utilities, firefighters, the LA County Sheriff, the LA Chamber of Commerce and others. This type of legislation has failed in 2008 and 2016(AB2709) based on opposition from the Balloon Council.

We urge the State of California and the City of Los Angeles City Council to ban metallic balloons.

Thanks for your consideration.

Silver Lake Neighborhood Council

2009 Mylar Balloon Dangers

Fact Sheet

DID YOU KNOW?

Mylar balloons, though festive, are enemies of power lines. Their silvery metallic coating is a conductor for electricity. When mylar balloons float into the sky and make contact with power lines, they can short transformers, cause power outages, melt an electrical wire and cause it to fall. They can even spark an electrical surge that could potentially destroy home electronics.

One of the most common causes of power outages in Los Angeles is mylar balloons coming into contact with power lines, causing more than 150 electrical service interruptions a year. Careless handling of these balloons can potentially cause injury to you and others, including to utility employees working on equipment. It only takes one balloon to short a circuit.

TIPS FOR HANDLING MYLAR BALLOONS SAFELY

- Always attach a weight to mylar balloons
- Never release a mylar balloon outdoors
- Keep mylar balloons away from power lines
- Never use metallic ribbon with mylar balloons
- Always deflate mylar balloons and dispose of them properly

HOW TO RESPOND

If you witness a mylar balloon that contacts a power line, keep yourself, your equipment and all other items and people, at least 10 feet away. Do not attempt to climb the pole or make any other attempt to retrieve the object. Call the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power at 1-800-DIAL DWP. Always assume the power lines are energized.

BALLOON VENDORS

California law requires that all mylar balloons—single or in “bouquets”—are anchored with a weight to prevent traveling up into the power lines. The law also prohibits metallic ribbon from being attached to helium-filled balloons. Florists and other merchants should always make certain that mylar balloons are properly weighted, and should remind their customers not to release them outdoors.

Celebrate safely—and help protect power reliability in Los Angeles—by keeping mylar balloons away from power lines!



9.5.

Committee/Requestor: UDP

Text of Motion: The SLNC Urban Design and Preservation Committee recommends support for a 7-unit small lot project to be built at 1754 Griffith Park Boulevard.

Committee Vote: RECOMMENDED 10-3-0

Describe the event or project in detail:

Project is a 7-unit small lot project.

What is the timeline for the project?

A letter of support will be provided to City Planning by the Chairs of UDP.

What is the most important item about this motion?

Providing more housing in the community.

From the DRAFT minutes:

Keith Lee, Developer:

We were here last month. Your comments were to make a softer look. This will be a seven-unit small lot subdivision on Griffith Park Boulevard. You'd offered comments about variation so that units along the row don't look so similar.

Here are new drawings. We changed the color scheme a little bit. We tried to incorporate natural and natural looking materials. There is natural stone. We have 16 trees on the property. There are pockets of greenery adjacent to the driveway. They were not included in the initial rendering but there were there all along.

We're trying to address as many community opinions as possible. However, we can't make everyone happy and we incorporated them as best as we could. We hope that more natural looking materials will address concerns. There are two alternate schemes: the window trim is now more silver.

Plante asked whether there were any project neighbors are here tonight. There are none.

Jerome Courshon:

Previous issues included elevation, greenery, and guest parking, he said.

Tracy, the project architect, said that we can add pockets of greenery along the fence and trees in green areas.

There is a building line along the street. It's 5 feet for small lot subdivisions. We need space to the street for the Fire Department. Instead of guest parking we chose landscaping. We focused more on outdoor space for owners rather than parking.

Jerome Courshon asked how much guest parking did you give up? Tracy said realistically one car because of the retaining walls.

Christine Kantner thanked them for bringing two alternatives and for addressing concerns. She had a question regarding guest parking.

Carole Cetrone said I like the changes made. I like the option with a darker look. Is the roof angling down? Tracy said no. That's a perspective.

The street is not exactly perpendicular so there's an extra triangle, said Keith Lee.

Georgene Smith-Goodin asked is anyone on the property now? Keith Lee said there is no one now. The units range from about 1750 sq ft to 2200 sq ft. in size, he added.

Carole Cetrone asked about the roofdeck situation?

Keith Lee said my brother and I are agents as well. We know what people are looking for. A Roofdeck with an inside/outside feel would be most useful. The roofdeck is only half of the top level. The other half is inside. That's a family room.

Viewing the drawings, Jerome Courshon asked this is the 3rd level? Keith Lee replied yes. Tracy said that it sets the units back.

Barbara Ringuette expressed concern about guest parking. You'll be on the market along with other new projects, the new small lot ordinance requires guest parking. You'd be required to have two spaces. I'd like to see all projects have guest parking.

She also mentioned roof decks. What will be the height? What is the height permitted? Are there homes around who's views will be impacted by the decks? Tracy showed photos of street level and neighboring homes. The homes above are way above. Barbara Ringuette said so there is no impact to anyone's views?

Keith Lee noted that our neighbors to the south have a tall modern-looking building. He said that a 45 foot height is allowed for their project. Tracy said the decks will be at 30 feet but the maximum building height will be 45 feet. Guest parking is only required for projects of 8 units or more so it is not required for this project.

Co-Chair Joe Ferrell asked are the kitchen and living room on the second floor? Tracy said yes. The bedrooms are on the third floor. Jerome Courshon thanked them for making changes.

Speaking about the roof decks, Keith Lee commented that it's not just a bedroom with deck, it's a family room with a deck. Plante thanked them for making changes. Keith Lee thanked the community for participating.

Joe Ferrell asked about the little wall in front. What are the materials? Ferrell said that he wants that to be darker. Tracy said that she isn't sure of the material.

Scott Plante made the motion. Carole Cetrone seconded.

9.6

Committee/Requestor: UDP

Text of Motion: The SLNC Urban Design and Preservation Committee recommends support for a full line of alcohol sales with live entertainment for 33 Taps at 3725 W. Sunset.

Committee Vote:RECOMMENDED 11-1-1

Describe the event or project in detail:

Small restaurant/sports bar on Sunset.

What is the timeline for the project?

A letter of support will be provided to City Planning by the Chairs of UDP.

What is the most important item about this motion?

Assisting a small local business.

From the DRAFT minutes:

Full line of on-site alcohol sales with live entertainment. Hours from 6am – 2am daily.

Brian, the owner of "33 Taps" said that his representative hasn't arrived yet. He's in a hearing.

Brian outlined 7 conditions: live entertainment would be prohibited except for a DJ for trivia, bingo. Live music and dancing are not permitted. No dancing or cover admission charge is permitted. All doors shall remain closed during events with DJ or karaoke. All sounds are to meet noise regulations. There is no seating outdoors after 10pm. There would be no sounds audible after 9pm beyond 50 feet.

Ferrell asked there are no new hours proposed? Brian the owner they are just as proposed.

RECESS FOR 10 MINUTES at 7:30pm while awaiting the arrival of the 33 Taps representative.

The Meeting resumed at 7:44pm.

Gary Benjamin, representative, "33 Taps":

He offered his apologies for being late. He said that he was at a Planning Commission meeting. Since most of you were here last time, I won't go through everything.

The restaurant has good food and a good reputation. They host trivia events, karaoke and community fundraisers. The people here last time supported '33 Taps' and it proposed late hours. We want a full line open to 2am.

We did extensive outreach to the nearby area. We had an open house with 40 attendees. We did door-to-door outreach. Some 12 stakeholders gave letters of support. We have an additional 88 letters from the community.

Noise was the main issue from last meeting. We met with the Los Angeles Police Department a couple of weeks ago to discuss noise. We sought their suggestions. There were concerns about the patio, which has speakers outside. After 10pm, all speakers will be off outside.

Regarding live entertainment, that will be exclusively a single DJ for ambient backdrop music. There will be no cover charge, no dancing. There will be no separate sound system. A single microphone will be used by the host of the events.

After 9pm there must be no sounds audible beyond 50 feet. That's halfway into the parking lot. We would have to self-enforce this. The owner has earned that trust. We'll be in full compliance with noise regulations.

He visited the restaurant during a Ru Paul drag event. Once you're 20 feet away, you don't hear anything. Also, there are few homes nearby. There was a question about shorter hours. We're open to other conditions but the owner wants the longer hours.

Jerome Courshon asked about the patio hours? About 2am is unacceptable. We haven't done that with any Silver Lake restaurant. Tonight we did 11pm on the patio for another project. The Owner said the restaurant would close at 11pm during the week and at midnight on Friday and Saturday.

Jerome Courshon asked whether the speakers would be off at 10pm? Brian said yes on all nights.

David Wheatley said that the project is much improved. Can you make a mark in the parking lot at 50 ft so I'll know where to stand.? Can you get an audio device? Navarez said you can get those from the LAPD. I have faith in you guys. I think you'll do good. Can people hear noise at 50 feet? Navarez said no.

Barbara Ringuette said I like the changes you made.

Plante made a motion to support the project with conditions.

9.6

Committee/Requestor: UDP

Text of Motion: The SLNC Urban Design and Preservation Committee recommends support for a full line of alcohol sales for All Day Baby, a restaurant at 3200 W. Sunset. Hours from 8am – 12am Sunday-Thursday and 8am-1am on Friday and Saturday. Patio hours to be 8am – 12am daily.

Committee Vote:RECOMMENDED 11-1-1

Describe the event or project in detail:

New restaurant to be located at the former Dusty's.

What is the timeline for the project?

A letter of support will be provided to City Planning by the Chairs of UDP.

What is the most important item about this motion?

Assisting a small business.

From the DRAFT minutes:

Eddie Navarez, representative:

We want a full line of alcohol sales for an existing restaurant. The owner is here. She also has the "Here's Looking At You" restaurant in Koreatown.

You expressed noise and parking issues when we were here last time.

The site has a recessed patio. The patio is not out on the public right of way. It has a roof. It's an open area. Above the property is the southwest boulevard of Sunset and Descanso. The patio is not on the corner.

There are 7 parking spots that are shared. We have 3 spots allocated for us in the back. We also have bike parking. There are 3 short term bike racks. There is also one long term bike rack.

We are not asking for variations on the parking requirements. However, we've been talking to the owner of the car wash. We have a draft lease for 12 parking stalls that would be maintained by a professional valet company. That's our solution right now.

We have about 2,000 square feet at our restaurant. The new shorter hours desired would be 8am to 11pm Sunday through Thursday and 8am to midnight Friday and Saturday.

The neighbors expressed concerns about noise. We gave them contact information but we haven't heard from them. We did our best in addressing their needs.

Plante said that Mark Duncan, who lives near the restaurant, was here at the last meeting. He said that he was fine with those hours.

Barbara Ringuette said wow! I'm totally impressed. I will vote in favor of this project. I'm very pleased with what you did. Thank you!

Jerome Courshon asked about bikes. 3 auto stalls are being replaced by bike stalls? For building and safety only allowed the bike stalls, said Eddie Navarez. Courshon said 3 stalls seems a bit much. Navarez said there are 7 parking stalls in the back, those will be maintained.

Speaking of the proposed hours, Courshon said that for Friday and Saturday, I wouldn't have an issue with 1am.

Carole Cetrone said the menu looks yummy. I'm hungry. David Wheatley said I think it's good. I appreciate the changes. Carole Cetrone asked when will the restaurant be open? Navarez said December. The Owner said we'll shoot for late fall. The project's hearing with the Zoning Administrator to June 19, noted Navarez.

Scott Plante made the motion with conditions on the parking covenant and the revised hours. Georgene Smith-Goodin seconded.