

**SILVER LAKE NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL  
GOVERNING BOARD  
SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES (DRAFT)**

7pm - 10 pm, February 17, 2016  
Citibank Community Room  
2450 Glendale Boulevard  
Los Angeles, CA 90039

**1. Call to Order**

The regular board meeting began at 7:09 pm.

**2. Roll Call**

Attending Board Members:

Heather Carson, Co-Chair  
Jerome Courson  
Anne-Marie Johnson, Parliamentarian  
David Modern  
Barbara Ringuette  
James Bigelow (left early)  
Seamus Garrity  
John Wingler, Treasurer  
Joe Ferrell, Co-Chair  
Matthew Desario (arrived late)  
Glenn Harrell (arrived late)  
Kinoka Ogsbury (left early)  
Helen de la Paz Dion (left early)  
Georgine Smith-Goodin (arrived late)  
Jay Bennett

Non-Attending Board Members:

Joshua Gomez  
Christine Kantner, Secretary  
Hope Taylor-Arnold  
Jeff Wayne  
Scott Plante  
Anthony Crump

There were 12 Board members present at the beginning of the meeting. Seven votes will be necessary for a motion to carry, said Silver Lake Neighborhood Council Co-Chairs Heather Carson and Joe Ferrell.

**3. Public Comment on Non-Agenda Items**

There are no speaker cards available tonight.

Barbara Ringuette thanked the group for participation in the budget advocate survey. We were #4 among participation levels among the neighborhood councils. I am truly impressed. We have survey results, she said. I can share those with you. We can see survey results by Council District (CD). Both CD4 and CD13 had good results, thanks to us. She'll email this.

A Man named Bobby spoke about Polka Dot Plaza. The future of the area must be taken up by the Board. He wants to create a permanent gathering place for the community. He would like a design along the lines of the new park Downtown on Spring Street. The current caretakers lack vision.

He noted that the blatant use of drugs is now gone thanks to the Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD).

The neighborhood council should reach out to SCI-Arc (the Southern California Institute of Architecture) or another design school to set up a design competition. We have plenty of architects and planners on the Urban Design and Preservation Committee to judge this. There are funds available from Metro, the City, and the State. This would be a great opportunity for outreach.

Genelle Lavin said that some misinformation has been circulated. There will be no permanent changes to Polka Dot Plaza until the city designates it as a pedestrian mall instead of a plaza. That process has begun. It will take a couple of years. The City has hired architects. The Plaza hasn't been ignored.

Rosa Macke asked about a sanctuary.

#### 4. Administrative

There are no minutes available at this meeting, said Co-Chair Carson.

#### 5. Consent Agenda

5.1. MOTION (Plante): The Silver Lake Neighborhood Council (SLNC) would like to urge the Council District 13 to work to preserve the parkway trees at 3008-12 Marathon Street.

We are skipping this, said Carson.

## 6. Old Business

6.1. MOTION (Green):The Green Committee moves that the SLNC Governing Board provide funding of up to \$500 to purchase a stainless steel water purifier and small paper cups to use at community meetings and events instead of purchasing bottled water, that the SLNC ban the use and purchase of single-use plastic bottles and all polystyrene (Styrofoam) products at all SLNC functions, and that participants be invited to bring their own receptacles to functions.

*Co-Chair Joe Ferrell read the motion. Vice Chair Seamus Garrity seconded.*

(Note: Glenn Harrell has arrived.)

Jerome Courshon expressed concerned. Any property that the neighborhood council purchases can't be stored at people's homes. We don't have a central locality or storage unit. He wants the neighborhood council to get this. If this is approved, where does it stay, he asked. While it could stay at Café Stella, that's a poor solution. Delay this until we find a storage solution, he urged.

Rusty Millar said there had been a storage place. Cameras, laptops and other equipment had been purchased over the years. He suggested to consider making a list of these items and having them on the website in case of audit.

Treasurer John Wingler said that the history collective stores things at the library. The neighborhood council has items there too including projector, microphone, etc.

Parliamentarian Anne-Marie Johnson said that items not used for consumption were just discussed. I'm concerned with mold and contamination. I'm against this motion because of sanitary reasons. We'd be responsible for water born germs and viruses. We don't have an appropriate storage facility. There are no guidelines for sanitation.

Jerome Courshon said I could make calls about storage units that are less than \$300 per month.

Carson said we already dispense water at events. Nina Sorkin wrote to the Board that if people bring their water bottles, that could be an issue. Should we not have disposable plastic?

Vice Chair Garrity said these are very legitimate concerns. Can we try to rework this motion and create guidelines? He wants to figure out safe storage. Maybe the City has guidelines or suggestions? I think the City has moved away from single-use plastic water bottles. I don't want to kill this. By design we are an advisory body. We should revisit this and create guidelines. We should reach out to the community at large and most would be opposed to single-use plastic bottles.

Kinoka Ogsbury said the issue is washing cups. The dispenser can be cleaned by one person. We looked into small recycleable paper cups.

Matthew Desario said I like the idea. Could we make a friendly amendment to mirror what the City is doing. Does the idea have to be fully vetted before we vote on it? I don't want to shut down a good idea. Also, I don't want the liability of poisoning people.

Green Committee Chair Rosa Macke asked can I bring it back to the Green Committee? I don't want to waste your time with this.

*Jerome Courshon made a motion to send this back to the Green Committee to be re- evaluated. Also, look into storage possibilities, he urged. Matthew seconded.*

**VOTE**  
**11-1-2**  
**PASSED**

Yes Votes:

Heather Carson  
Jerome Courson  
Anne-Marie Johnson  
David Modern  
Barbara Ringuette  
John Wingler  
Joe Ferrell  
Matthew Desario  
Glenn Harrell  
Kinoka Ogsbury  
Jay Bennett

No Votes:

Seamus Garrity

Abstentions:

Helen de la Paz Dion  
James Bigelow

6.2. MOTION (Carson):The SLNC moves to post current fiscal year Funding Expenditures online and to update them prior to each Board meeting.

*Ferrell made the motion. Johnson seconded.*

Carson said that one of our mandates is to partner with other neighborhood councils. Could we see what things could be partnered with other neighborhood councils, she asked.

The process of funding is challenging. We'd been running everything through Neighborhood Purpose Grants (NPGs). We should revisit this. It's for nonprofits. Are we meant to be supporting nonprofits through that category, asked Carson.

Treasurer Wingler said I'm willing to post this. I can post it tonight. It shows where we are with the money. I think it's great.

Courshon discussed what is available in NPGs. He had a question about remaining funds that Wingler answered.

Wingler discussed NPG numbers. We can't touch the elections budget but other things can be shuffled around. We have about \$9,000 available, he said.

Johnson asked Wingler were you suggesting a substitute motion? Wingler said we could discuss a different format? Matthew Desario said if you're already doing this report, is it unique to you or is it something that gets created anyway. Wingler said it's unique to him.

Jay Bennett said this seems to solve the problem. Carson asked aren't we required to post our budget online anyway? This doesn't address what I brought up. Her issue was categories and where we're partnering. It's not about the number, it's about the work.

*Johnson said I recommend a substitute motion. Let's continue with what John Wingler created. Carson's issue must be discussed with the body when proposals are presented. Motions may have to be reconfigured.*

*She made a motion that Wingler's document be posted on the website. She also said to make sure proposals are correctly configured. Jay Bennett seconded the motion.*

Wingler said I'm not clear that calling something an NPG precludes it from being a Community Improvement Project. We make them NPGs because it eases paperwork. Last month, we have an event that we haven't had before.

**VOTE for an amended motion to put Wingler's documents online.**

**12-2-0**

**PASSED**

Yes Votes:

Jerome Courson  
Anne-Marie Johnson  
David Modern  
Barbara Ringuette  
James Bigelow  
Seamus Garrity  
John Wingler  
Joe Ferrell  
Glenn Harrell  
Kinoka Ogsbury  
Helen de la Paz Dion  
Jay Bennett

No Votes:

Heather Carson  
Mathew Desario

Abstentions:

none

(Note: Georgene Smith-Goodin has arrived at 7:39pm. She will begin to vote now.)

*Desario offered a friendly amendment. He made a move to separate the two questions. Johnson seconded.*

Desario said the original motion was putting Wingler's version online. Your original motion about whether NPGs should be used as a shell should be discussed separately. One is about format the other is about NPGs going forward.

Courshon mentioned the NPG issue and shell corporations. That's not part of the motion. Johnson said it is part of what she proposed. We must vet grants more thoroughly when they are presented.

Desario said that listening to Wingler, it sounds like he came up with a format that we're debating. He said he's not sure that NPGs as we do them are a bad thing. Glenn Harrell asked should we be following a specific format? Ferrell said no.

Smith-Goodin said there is a monthly expenditure report that we do. We made up our own formats. Done doesn't have a recommended tracking system. It's up to each treasurer to figure it out. We should just require treasurer to do this on an ongoing basis. Each treasurer will have different way to do this that makes most sense for them.

*Desario said we have a motion. He moved to extend the debate. Johnson seconded.*

**VOTE to extend debate for 5 minutes.**

**Unanimous**

**PASSED**

**VOTE to separate the motions**

**Unanimous**

**PASSED**

**VOTE on whether this is the right format**

**Unanimous**

**PASSED**

Desario asked do we think the current use of NPGs is in some way something that some board members may not be comfortable with?

Courshon said this is a very important issue. We can't get it done without extending debate. Can we move this to the next regular board meeting? *He made a motion to table this part to the next meeting. Jay Bennett seconded.*

Barbara Ringuette asked can we send this to a committee? Perhaps the Standing Rules Committee rather than taking up the time of the entire board? Maybe send it to the Budget and Finance Committee?

*Courshon withdrew his motion. He then made a motion to send the issue to the Budget and Finance Committee. Jay Bennett seconded.*

James Bigelow said that we should discuss it before referring it to a committee. Ferrell said there was no objection so this is being sent back to the Budget and Finance Committee. Wingler said I invite up to 5 of you to attend Committee meetings .

### 6.3. Discussion and Possible Action: Silver Lake Neighborhood Council “Adopt-a-Stairway Program”

Carson said that she wanted to speak. Who will be co-chair for the meeting during that time, she asked. Georgene Smith-Goodin said the former Green Committee asked to table this.

*Bigelow said to move to table until she can attend. Wingler seconded.*

#### **VOTE to table**

**8-5-1**

**PASSED**

#### Yes Votes:

Jerome Courson  
James Bigelow  
Seamus Garrity  
John Wingler  
Matthew Desario  
Helen de la Paz Dion  
Georgine Smith-Goodin  
Jay Bennett

#### No Votes:

Heather Carson  
Glenn Harrell  
Anne-Marie Johnson  
Barbara Ringuette  
Joe Ferrell

#### Abstentions:

David Modern

(Note: Kinoka Ogsbury has left the meeting and did not vote.)

Carson said we never voted to create this program. It's been rogue.

6.4. MOTION (Le Vin): The SLNC moves to allocate up to \$5000 to provide commercial grade outdoor umbrellas and umbrella stands for the Sunset Triangle Plaza. (Cost: \$5,000)

Genelle LeVin passed around flyers about the history of the Silver Lake Improvement Association (SLIA). It's been around since 1989. The Sunset triangle plaza was dedicated in 2012. SLIA is the partner for maintenance. The plaza becomes an outdoor theatre in the summer. She said that she was looking forward to the City designation of the site as a permanent pedestrian mall. She wants trees there.

The City has hired architects to come up with a plan. This will include amenities, up to \$52,000. She isn't happy with the architects chosen. The business owners decided this, she said.

When the plaza came about, there were umbrellas and tables and chairs. These were not good quality. Most of them didn't last more than 2 years. It's been a struggle to replace them. The City put me in contact with EMU, a manufacturer of umbrellas. The City recommended \$1,000 umbrellas. The stands will be weighted. That will keep the umbrellas from tipping over. They are on wheels. The businesses can take the umbrellas in every night. The prices we've been quoted are half of retail.

She has flyers and photos of the umbrellas. We're limited by color. 10x10ft umbrellas are recommended by the City. It will take 12 weeks to get them from Europe. However, 6.5 sq ft umbrellas are \$350, 8.5 sq ft hexagon is \$445. It's \$300 for the 100 lb base.

Johnson expressed concern that documentation is not included in motion. This should have been posted publicly. For us to get this documentation so late is a concern. That's a considerable amount of money. At what time did this information arrive, asked Johnson. It just arrived, responded LeVin. I need to know more about these companies. There's a lot to digest, said Johnson.

LeVin said to email me with questions and I'm happy to answer. Wingler said I'd like to see a budget. We really need to have a budget. LeVin said we may not need \$5,000. We're now looking at cheaper umbrellas and stands. The plaza was furnished with 9 umbrellas to begin. None are left. We need some tables and chairs.

Courshon had a question about wheels? Who takes in the umbrellas at night? Can they be stolen? A question about durability was addressed. I read that you want 18 umbrellas in total. Who else is funding this, asked Courshon. In relation to OutFront media, are they committing any funds for SLIA or the farmers market?

LeVin said they are taking responsibility for the trees. The City has initiated an investigation. They will replace the trees. They also agree to outdoor ads for the neighborhood council, the farmers market, etc. These things will be worked out. Courshon said it would be great if you could just send us all that information.

Helen de la Paz Dion asked why can't the businesses buy the umbrellas? LeVin said the businesses are responsible for taking care of the umbrellas but the umbrellas are for the community.

Desario said he wants information about replacement umbrellas, including their cost. The Board has expressed some concerns with how NPGs are funded. This would be a good opportunity to decide what we're spending money on and how.

Smith-Goodin said this is listed as coming out of community improvement grants. LeVin explained that the City has designated this. Carson said to include that in the documentation.

Bobby asked why should we give \$5,000 to SLIA if they are in negotiation with other organizations to buy the umbrellas? He said that he hopes that the Board will vote down this motion. Carson said to come back to us with information.

Rosa Macke asked what other options do you have for funding? Nina Sorkin said this board approved \$5,000 for Belleview. Maybe Jerome Courshon would be willing to buy an umbrella? Or perhaps corporations might do so? This has been a long standing discussion, she added. Carson said we'll put this on the March agenda.

#### 6.5. Discussion and Possible action: Grievance submitted from Nina Sorkin.

Nadine isn't here. Can we discuss this without her? Garrity said yes.

### 7. New Business

7.1. MOTION (Trujillo): Move that the Silver Lake Neighborhood Council approve funding in the amount of \$1550.00 for CERT Training to take place at Micheltorena Elementary School Thursday March 3, 2016 through Thursday April 14, 2016. (Cost: \$1,550.00)

*Garrity moved to spend \$1,650 for the event that begins March 3. Johnson seconded the motion.*

Courshon said there is a CERT class going on now. Why do another one right after, he asked. Nina Sorkin said we've spent about \$1,200. Courshon asked what's the point? We should kill this motion and have Nadine and Nina come back for the next year.

Garrity said the idea to have more than one per year. Ideally, to have four per year. Carson asked should we table this until Nadine can speak? Garrity said that if we table this then it won't happen in March.

Wingler said there is demand. This requires outreach, however. Ringuette said that it's impressive that the current program has additional people. That's wonderful. I did the program in Burbank. Their plan is to have 2 CERT trained people per block. We're way behind. I would support additional classes.

Nina Sorkin said I coordinate one at Belleview Park. More than 40 people attend. Originally the request came from a Los Angeles Fire Department Battalion chief who lives in Silver Lake who insisted that we have a class. Sorkin noted that the web page lists the class as starting March 15, not March 3.

Smith-Goodin said that CERT is really important. The question is about doing it back to back. We did it two years ago. We need to choose the period carefully. She took the class during spring break. No one else completed that course.

Johnson said she supports more of these. You can't be too prepared. Many of our members won't go away for spring break, she noted. This is a small amount of money to prepare for emergencies.

Carson said that last year, funding motions were to wrap by March. Are we looking at trying to use our yearly budget in a timely fashion, she asked. Courshon asked is there a cutoff date?

Smith-Goodin said that the new board will be seated in June. Everything should be approved by April. If March 3 is the start date, we need fast outreach.

Courshon said if you want to do two per year, don't do them back to back. Have them 6 months apart.

**VOTE**  
**11-1-0**  
**PASSED**

Yes Votes:

Heather Carson  
Anne-Marie Johnson  
David Modern  
Barbara Ringuette  
Seamus Garrity  
John Wingler  
Joe Ferrell  
Matthew Desario  
Glenn Harrell  
Georgine Smith-Goodin  
Jay Bennett

No Votes:

Jerome Courshon

Abstentions:

None

(Note that Kinoka Ogsbury, Helen de la Paz Dion, and James Bigelow have left the meeting and did not vote.)

Courshon asked if it doesn't start March 3, what does that mean for the validity of the motion? Johnson said it would be a clarification. She suggested a typographical error, understanding that it begins March 3 or 15.

Georgene Smith-Goodin noted that this is listed as community improvement, not outreach. That must be amended.

*Carson made the motion, which was seconded by Smith-Goodin.* Carson suggested the language "beginning in March" and an amendment that funds come out of outreach.

**VOTE**

**11-1-0  
PASSED**

Yes Votes:

Heather Carson  
Anne-Marie Johnson  
David Modern  
Barbara Ringuette  
Seamus Garrity  
John Wingle  
Joe Ferrell  
Matthew Desario  
Glenn Harrell  
Georgine Smith-Goodin  
Jay Bennett

No Votes:

Jerome Courshon

Abstentions:

none

7.2. MOTION (Carson):The Silver Lake Neighborhood Council moves to revise Standing Rule 48 in regard to the posting of agendas for Board and Committee Meetings. The proposed changes specify the time, date and location of postings as well as specific language for use when electing Committee Co-Chairs.

*Johnson seconded the motion.* She said it's a strong motion. You're talking about regularly scheduled meetings. There are no regular committee meetings. They can be changed at any time. The governing board is the only entity with regular scheduled meetings, according to bylaws. She referred to any board meeting or any committee meeting. There are no regularly scheduled committee meetings according to bylaws.

Carson said a 24-hour advance notice meeting is a special meeting. Johnson said that that is correct. She said to use the word "draft" minutes. Some committees can't meet to approve minutes.

*Desario seconded Johnson's motion regarding agendas for all regular and special board meetings.* Rosa Macke asked whether special meetings can only be posted by the Co-Chairs? Johnson clarified for the board. Committees can have special meetings.

Heather Carson said we're discussing Standing Rule 48 now. Posting in the plaza is sacred. The penalty for breaking the rules is that DONE (The Department of Neighborhood Empowerment) will come in and train us. We're going to post appropriately. That's the point of this standing rule.

Robert said that he wants to support the motion. I was at the PNPW meeting. It was a large group of 30 people. Most of us were shocked that it wasn't posted online. The person elected will probably be a good chair but the rule needs to be tightened for 24 hour special meeting. That kind of meeting shouldn't be allowed for electing Co-Chairs.

*Desario said I would love to have a friendly amendment that failure to abide by this would invalidate action taken at that meeting. Johnson said DONE approved that you can still hold meeting. No action can be taken. Courshon seconded the motion.*

Smith-Goodin explained that at this Board's first meeting, we were asked to reduce 7 posting places to one. The requirements for posting were a pain in the neck. There's no excuse for this. The Brown Act has specific qualifications about when you can hold a special meeting. You may not include special meetings to elect Co-Chairs.

Johnson said I sent the agenda to ENS yesterday. It wasn't posted. We're having a problem with ENS. It's not purely the problem of the Co=Chairs. Also, our web master isn't responding.

Courshon suggested adding in "Co-Chair election cannot happen". Carson said we will revisit that.

**VOTE on second amendment about invalidating action**  
**Unanimous**  
**PASSED**

VOTE on Johnson's "draft" language amendment  
Unanimous  
PASSED

**VOTE on amended motion**  
**Unanimous**  
**PASSED**

7.3. MOTION (Johnson):The Silver Lake Council Governing Board require the SLNC Secretary to adhere to and perform the responsibilities of the

Secretary. If the Secretary still refuses to fulfill the duties prescribed, then the board moves that the co-chairs of the governing board and the SLNC Webmaster will follow-through with those duties.

*Johnson made the motion. Carson seconded.*

Johnson said she'd had an arduous time to get the Secretary to adhere to the Public Records Act. This is a violation of State law. There is obstruction with moving forward with my motion. Allow the co-chairs to take over the requirement if the Secretary refuses. Carson said if they are not willing to do their duties, then they shouldn't have the job. Wingler agreed.

Desario asked how difficult are we making it to request information? It's implicit that the co-chairs are supposed to handle those things already. Are we memorializing this as a co-chair has resigned.

Johnson said I didn't include that the officer be replaced. That's not part of the motion. When we don't adhere to California law, we're in a precarious position. We must mitigate that concern.

Garrity said that he disagreed with the co-chair issue. Smith-Goodin said it would be great to have former Co-Chair Anthony Crump here for this. Our GoDaddy system doesn't allow forwarding. We need input from the Information Technology community to create an electronic trail.

Johnson said this motion has nothing to do with GoDaddy or with our website. It only deals with the Secretary's refusal.

Courshon noted 2 points: this doesn't discuss removal or reprimand. He said that as a general note he reads all of his Silver Lake Neighborhood Council emails from yahoo. I don't reply from yahoo. I reply from GoDaddy so that there is an electronic trail. When you respond from other accounts, there is no protection.

Desario said that it's very easy to do this. For Johnson's motion, he added that Christine is unwilling to provide the information.

Johnson said that if a stakeholder requests information and the Secretary doesn't respond, the stakeholder can sue.

Robert said I made two PRA (Public Records Act) requests awhile back. We were as supposed to get information by December. We didn't. I blew it off. Her point is very germane.

Carson said the Secretary is the only person receiving info about excused absences. You're supposed to just follow your duties. Be a grown up about it.

Desario offered a friendly amendment. Can you remove the part about the web master? They aren't public officials. Can Co-chairs be required to take nominations? Johnson said that that was interesting. If you volunteer to do it, it's the committee's right to have the PRA answered. If the secretary is unable to do the job, are we doing our job for stakeholders?

*Johnson made a motion to extend debate for 5 minutes. Garrity seconded.*

**VOTE to extend debate**  
**11-1-0**  
**PASSED**

Yes Votes:

Heather Carson  
Anne-Marie Johnson  
David Modern  
Barbara Ringuette  
Seamus Garrity  
Joe Ferrell  
Matthew Desario  
Glenn Harrell  
Georgine Smith-Goodin  
Jay Bennett  
Jerome Courshon

No Vote

John Winger

Abstentions:

none

Johnson raised First Amendment objections. Our Bylaws don't have a process to remove someone from an executive position. Garrity expressed concerns that only one person has access to PRA requests. That creates problems. It must be decided quickly who will do the PRA. Carson said to assign a custodian of records. That's the secretary. You make a folder in GoDaddy. It's really easy.

Garrity asked at what point does the secretary do this? Johnson said the secretary must respond within 10 days. However, the secretary doesn't have to provide the data within 10 days. If secretary is not willing to do the PRA, the co-chairs must step in. Johnson said she believes the person should be removed.

*Wingler moved to call the question. Harrell seconded.*

Nina Sorkin said a lot of the issues that keep coming up could be resolved if this Board had had a retreat where you talk about responsibilities. That would have been better than taking the time now.

**VOTE**  
**Unanimous**  
**PASSED**

7.4. MOTION (Carson):The SLNC moves to create a new SR to clarify the Officers' Duties and Powers as listed in Article VI Section 2 of the Bylaws as follows. The Department of Neighborhood Empowerment will be consulted as to the appropriateness of this new rule.

*Carson moved to pass this and refine it over time.*

*Johnson proposed an amendment.* Once duties are vetted and tweaked, and once approved by the Board, if the officer refuses to do any of their duties based on personal reasons, then the officer is open to removal by a simple majority vote.

Robert asked define personal reasons. Carson said you can say refuses. *Garrity seconded the amendment.*

Desario and Wingler said they were opposed to the amendment. Desario asked what is a personal issue? Do we want 3 strikes and you're out? Is simple majority best? Establish what job is first and then discuss consequences.

Ringuette said I just read through this. There are lots of heavy duties. How many people are willing to do the secretary's job. It's difficult for the Board to find people willing do these jobs. Use caution, she urged.

Jay Bennett said that the initial motion is great but omit the punitive languages. Wingler warned that if the amendment passes, he will resign tonight. Courson said we're out of time. *Johnson said I'll just remove my amendment.*

**VOTE**  
**Unanimous**  
**PASSED**

Courshon expressed concern about the long list. We're trying to get this motion passed tonight. He suggested having a conversation to allocate duties to other positions. Carson said we don't have time tonight. *Courshon said to table this to the March meeting so we'll have more time.*

Johnson said it's been on but not this list. This is special list. Smith-Goodin said this list was on the agenda of the last meeting. Courshon said to allow 15-20 minutes at the next meeting. *Desario said I second Courshon's table motion.*

**VOTE to table**  
**11-1-0**  
**PASSED**

Yes Votes:

Anne-Marie Johnson  
David Modern  
Barbara Ringuette  
Seamus Garrity  
Joe Ferrell  
Matthew Desario  
Glenn Harrell  
Georgine Smith-Goodin  
Jay Bennett  
Jerome Courshon  
John Wingler

No Vote

Heather Carson

Abstentions:

none

10. Announcements

Wingler said the reservoir meeting will be Monday. The Department of Water and Power is making a presentation. It's a quarterly meeting. There should be a big crowd.

Johnson said there will be a governmental affairs meeting tomorrow night.

Ringuette said she requested emails in support of additional funding several months ago. She is working on the next budget cycle and asking for additional emails. Please respond. It is essential for the City to go further with affordable housing.

#### 11. Adjournment

The Special Meeting ended at 9:07pm. An Executive Committee meeting followed immediately afterward.