

SLNC July 11, 2018 Supplemental Documents

6.4. a

<https://email14.godaddy.com/webmail.php#>

6.4.b

<https://email14.godaddy.com/webmail.php#>

9.1. [http://empowerla.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/2019 Election%20Information%20Worksheet](http://empowerla.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/2019_Election%20Information%20Worksheet)

9.2. Neighborhood Council Assessment Form

1. What was the Big Vision(s) for your Council

2. What were the Big Goals for fulfilling your Vision?

a. Big Goal #1

i. Did you meet this goal? Yes or No or None of the above.

ii. If no, why?

b. Big Goal #2

i. Did you meet this goal? Yes or No or None of the above.

ii. If no, why?

c. Big Goal #3

i. Did you meet this goal? Yes or No or None of the above.

ii. If no, why?

d. Big Goal #4

i. Did you meet this goal? Yes or No or None of the above.

ii. If no, why?

e. Big Goal #5

i. Did you meet this goal? Yes or No or None of the above.

ii. If no, why?

3. How did your BIG budget match up to your goals?

a. Outreach - Budgeted vs Outreach - Spent

b. Operations - Budgeted vs Operations - Spent

c. NPG - Budgeted vs NPG - Spent

d. Neighborhood Improvements - Budgeted vs Neighborhood Improvements - Spent

e. Elections/Selections - Budgeted vs Elections/Selections - Spent

4. How did you measure your BIG Score and what were the results? Please be specific with numbers if the measurement was to increase a number, e.g. increase web traffic (from how many hits to how many?) or more people at meetings (from how many people to how many?)
 - a. Measure 1 & Result 1
 - b. Measure 2 & Result 2
 - c. Measure 3 & Result 3
 - d. Measure 4 & Result 4
 - e. Measure 5 & Result 5
5. How many community Impact Statements (CIS) did your Council File? If 0, why?
6. How many Request for Action* (not including CIS) from your elected or City Departments did your Council make? If 0, why?
 - a. (*A Request for Action is when the board makes a recommendation on an issue that must be conveyed to your electeds or City Departments to take further action, e.g. Neighborhood Council position on a land use issue or other City matter.)
7. How many General and Special meetings did your Council conduct?
8. How many General and Special Committee meetings did your Council conduct?
9. How many events did your Council collaborate with elected and/or City Departments to conduct?
 - a. Please list the events and the elected and/or City Departments involved
 - b. How many events did your Council collaborate with a community group or non-profit?
 - c. How many events did your Council collaborate with a school?
 - i. Please list the event and the community group, non-profit or school.
10. How many stakeholders did your Council have in its database (if not already listed in the Big Score above) on:
 - a. July 1, 2017?
 - b. June 30, 2018?
 - c. Do not have a stakeholder database
11. How many times did your Council communicate with its stakeholder database?
 - a. Times per year?

9.3.

Silver Lake Inventory List.

9.4.

Meeting Date: June 13, 2018

Committee/Requestor: Urban Design and Preservation (UDP)

Text of Motion: The SLNC UD&PAC Committee recommends support for a 5-lot small home project to be located at 904 Benton Way with the following recommendations: request the City to not require additional street dedications; request the applicant to try and save an existing oak tree not noted on the MLUPA; waive the 6-foot wall requirement on the north side of the site.

Committee Vote: RECOMMENDED 5Y-4N

Describe the event or project in detail:

Project is a small lot development composed of 5 homes.

What is the most important item about this motion?

Creation of needed housing.

Please explain how this motion benefits Silver Lake:

The need for housing is evident due to the high cost of existing homes. An increase in supply can help reduce the cost of existing housing.

How will this motion be implemented, and by whom?

If recommended by the SLNC, a letter of support will be sent by the UDP Co-Chairs to the Council District and City Planning.

Committee's discussion from the Committee DRAFT meeting minutes:

- *Georgene to remain silent because she lives within 500 ft of the proposed development, will make first comment*
- *Georgene*

- o *Happy with layout, likes green space*
- o *Oak tree on the property not noted on the MLU document*
- o *all homes on Benton Way are 1-2 story in height*
- o *this development is completely out of scale and does not fit with the neighborhood*
- o *wants the developer to reconsider the style of architecture*
- *Developer's response (Steve)*
 - o *Thinks there is a need/demand for small lots in Silver Lake*
 - o *Appreciates the architecture of the area*
 - o *Wants to save/salvage the inside of the current home on the property*
 - o *Doesn't feel it's an eyesore*
 - o *The project has nice green space/landscaping, that most small lots don't offer*
 - o *People love modern design in LA*
- *Elisa (21 unit apartment tenant)*
 - o *She is against the project*
 - o *Design does not fit into the neighborhood*
 - o *Doesn't look like Silver Lake*
 - o *Concerns with construction*
 - o *Believes the city/area has problems with preserving architecture*
 - o *Asks the developer why is this project's architecture so far in the opposite direction than the current styles in the neighborhood*
- *Matt Foss*
 - o *Believes it is compatible with the existing neighborhood*
 - o *Disagrees with Elisa*
 - o *It's an eclectic neighborhood*
 - o *There is a housing crisis in LA and this project is helping the neighborhood in solving that crisis*
- *Jerry*
 - o *States that he is pro development*
 - o *Felt disingenuous that that the 21 unit apartment building was next door, it's across the street*
 - o *Wants to hear more from adjacent neighbors*
- *Eric (adjacent neighbor)*
 - o *Understand density*
 - o *Not thrilled by the project but cautiously optimistic*
- *Matt Foss responds with "willing to provide promises in writing"*
- *Rebecca*
 - o *Feels that this project is not about diversity*
 - o *Just an unintended consequence of the SLS*
 - o *Concerned with roof decks*
 - o *Wants roof decks facing inward and reduced in size*
 - o *Believes it is not providing a good quality of life*
 - o *Setbacks not big enough*
- *Matt Foss responds with: city planning roof top decks set back*

- o *Not designed for big parties*
- *Cliff*
 - o *Wants renderings of the project with neighboring units in perspective*
- *Anne Marie*
 - o *Taste in architecture is like taste in porn, it's subjective*
 - o *Would rather see 5 bungalows on this property*
 - o *The current project does not fit into this part of Silver Lake*
- *Carol*
 - o *Agrees with Anne Marie*
 - o *Feels like the proposed project goes against the feel of the neighborhood*
- *Barbara*
 - o *Likes the design but feels it's not for this area of Silver Lake*
 - o *She has been seeing more single family homes being redone in the neighborhood*
 - o *Wants more of that going on*
 - o *Mostly single family homes in the neighborhood*
 - o *Believes we are losing the eclectic nature of Silver Lake*
- *Marice*
 - o *Likes the architecture, but it belongs in Santa Monica, not in Silver Lake*
 - o *The project is too tall*
 - o *It's getting rid of the history of the current home*
- *Matt Foss*
 - o *Wants to keep the oak tree, but the city might require dedication*
 - o *The cost of a unit will probably be \$1 million*
- *Joe (public)*
 - o *Likes the project*
 - o *Not using the maximum height or FAR*
 - o *Not a bad project*
 - o *Will there be an open stairwell for the roof decks?*
- *Matt Foss responds*
 - o *We have enclosed stairwells on 2 units*
- *Joe Ferrell*
 - o *Likes the design of the project*
 - o *This is what we have been asking, to step back the façade with each floor*
 - o *Likes the layout of the project, appreciates all the green space*
 - o *Not many small lots have this kind of open space or the amount of green space this project has*
 - o *They are taking advantage of the site and creating something high quality*
 - o *Not cheap looking like other small lots*
 - o *Would like to see bigger windows on the sides of the units*
- *Scott Plante*
 - o *Agrees with Joe*

- o High quality
- o Taking advantage of the site
- o Lots of angels
- Scott makes a motion to support the project with the conditions of saving the oak tree and asking the city to not require the project to have additional dedication, and waive the 6ft wall the city requires on these projects
- Not sure who seconded motion
- Passes 5-4
-
- **9.5.**

Meeting Date: June 13, 2018

Committee/Requestor: Urban Design and Preservation (UDP)

Text of Motion: The SLNC UD&PAC Committee recommends support for a CUB at 4141 Santa Monica Boulevard to allow the sale and dispensing of a full line of alcoholic beverages for on-site consumption in conjunction with a motel and restaurant. Application hours are from 7am – 2am.

Committee Vote: RECOMMENDED 5Y-4N

Describe the event or project in detail:

The restaurant is part of a hotel to be located west of Sunset Junction.

What is the most important item about this motion?

A hotel is needed in Silver Lake to address demand. Hotels need restaurants to help serve their guests.

Please explain how this motion benefits Silver Lake:

The hotel will be locally owned and will provide a local place to stay for people visiting Silver Lake residents.

How will this motion be implemented, and by whom?

If recommended by the SLNC, a letter of support will be sent by the UDP Co-Chairs to the Council District and City Planning.

Committee’s discussion from the Committee DRAFT meeting minutes:

Co-Chair Ferrell excused himself, stating he works for the consultant.

Zach, consultant: Seeking a CUB to allow the sale of a full line of alcoholic beverages for a restaurant to be located at a 54-unit motel. Restaurant is approximately 700 sf. Project was originally built as a hotel for the 1986 Olympics, and remains zoned as a hotel. Application hours are from 7am – 2am. Neighbors do not include schools or churches, there will be no adult entertainment, will be a family motel. Restaurant will be half the size of Tartine, recently before UDP. Pool will not be open to the public. 50% of the guests are expected to take taxis and Uber.

Aleutia, architect: Remodel is about nested massings. No increase in height or mass.

Zach: Hearing is in July. Sgt. Carasco of LAPD had no conditions.

Richard Brennan: CUB only. No change in use. Motel since 1986. Alcohol only.

Neighbor: Could you explain pedestrian circulation? Where are curb cuts? Aleutia pointed out the circulation and curb cuts.

Neighbor: Any different hours for the pool?

Zach: No different hours for the pool.

Cliff Towne: Will there be tables at pool? Waitress service? Food?

Zach: Food service, tables, yes.

Wayne Jacobson: Concerned about street parking. Has fought city for parking meters. Concerned about noise for neighbors up the hill. Building has failed 3-4 times. Vagrants. No reason for this kind of operation.

Zach: Not a stand alone bar, a quality sit-down restaurant. Parking is ample. Owners will not permit loud drinking: noise and bad service will affect reputation.

Lisaukas: Who will manage the restaurant? Professional operation?

Zach: Professional chef to manage. Yes on professional operator.

Johnson: Important for Silver Lake to have a middle of the neighborhood hotel. Would personally love a B&B. Beyond saturation point with AirBnB and this will help relieve that pressure on the neighborhood. SL needs a hotel, but against the alcohol. Suggest limiting service outside.

Goodin: How were residents removed? Long term tenants?

Elisa/360: Structure always a hotel. Not rent control. 30 days. Not full units, hotel rooms.

Neighbor: Pool moving?

Zach: Pool will be located at second floor of hotel, near manager's unit.

Ringuette: Employee parking?

Zach: Expect 8-9 full time staff, 1 manager. Will park in lot, walk, ride. Parked to code, which is 35 cars.

Elise: Will be a full time parking valet, which will increase parking capacity.

9.6.

Date: May 25, 2018

Committee/Requestor: SLNC Transportation & Neighborhood Safety Committee

Text of Motion:

The SLNC Transportation & Neighborhood Safety Committee recommends that the Silver Lake Neighborhood Council submit the attached Community Impact Statement for Council File 17-1311 to implement recommendations of the State of the Street Related Infrastructure Programs in Los Angeles Report

Committee Vote: RECOMMENDED with unanimous vote (10-0).

Describe the event or project in detail:

At the Committee's May 23, 2018 Meeting, a presentation was made by Laila Alequresh, Executive Advisor, Office of the Chief Administrative Officer, City of Los Angeles on the Evaluation of the State of Street Related Infrastructure Programs in Los Angeles Report & Recommendations. This Report is the result of the Mayor & Councils desire to improve the delivery of public works services. The presentation showed the interrelatedness of providing street services (above ground-transportation/mobility & underground-electric, water, sewer) and how management is decentralized and uncoordinated (DWP, Public Works Board, Taxi & Transportation Commissions). The Report provides a detailed review of the state of street services in Los Angeles, a survey of Residents and nationwide benchmarking and best practice options to consider. Recommendations include a consolidation of the Department of Transportation under the Public Works Board and development of improved management systems to provide coordination of all City Services.

What is the timeline for the project?

The CIS should be submitted to the City Council as soon as possible.

What is the most important item about this motion?

This report provides an opportunity to dramatically improve City Services based on a thoughtful and thorough evaluation of Los Angeles City Street Services

Please explain how this motion benefits the SLNC and Silver Lake:

Like all communities in Los Angeles, Silver Lake requires continual improvements to our streets. We have all experienced the frustration of delayed street maintenance and repairs and the difficulty in communicating with and getting responses from different City departments. This Report's findings and recommendations could dramatically improve the state of our streets by consolidating management oversight and developing analytical tools to improve the quality and timeliness of the provision of City services.

What is the total budget for the project? From which budget line are the funds to be drawn? If this is a funding motion, please submit a completed Funding Request Form to the Treasurer at least 3 days before the Governing Board Meeting.

No SLNC budget impact.

How will this motion be implemented, and by whom?

If the motion is supported by the SLNC, the CIS will be submitted electronically to the City Clerk.

Committee's discussion from the Committee meeting minutes:

May 23, 2018 Minutes

The Committee unanimously approved a motion to ask Silver Lake Neighborhood Council (SLNC) Board to approve and submit a Community Impact Statement for File CF 17-1311: Presentation and Possible Motion/Action on "State of Street Related Infrastructure Programs in Los Angeles."

The report details recommendations to improve Los Angeles' street-related infrastructure and associated programs. Link to full report: http://clkrep.lacity.org/onlinedocs/2017/17-1311_rpt_CAO_11-21-17.pdf

DRAFT OF COMMUNITY IMPACT STATEMENT
Council File: 17-1311

June 2018

Honorable City Council
c/o Office of the City Clerk
Los Angeles City Hall
200 N. Spring Street, Room 395
Los Angeles, CA. 90012

The Silver Lake Neighborhood Council (SLNC) requests that the following Community Impact Statement be added to Council File 17-1311 regarding Presentation and Possible Motion/Action on "State of Street Related Infrastructure Programs in Los Angeles." The report details recommendations to improve Los Angeles' street-related infrastructure and associated programs. Link to full report: http://clkrep.lacity.org/onlinedocs/2017/17-1311_rpt_CAO_11-21-17.pdf

The Silver Lake Neighborhood Council supports the Report's findings and recommendations and strongly urges that they be implemented in a timely fashion.

The SLNC learned about the report's findings and recommendations at the May 23, 2018, SLNC Transportation & Neighborhood Safety Committee Meeting based on a presentation and followup discussion by Laila Alequresh, the Report's author. Ms Alequresh's presentation showed the interrelatedness of providing street services (above ground-transportation/mobility & underground-electric, water, sewer) and how management is decentralized and uncoordinated (DWP,Public Works Board, Taxi & Transportation Commissions). The Report provides a detailed review of the state of street services in Los Angeles, a survey of Residents and nationwide benchmarking and best practice options to consider. Recommendations include a consolidation of the Department of Transportation under the Public Works Board and development of improved management systems to provide coordination of all City Services. If implemented these recommendations will improve coordination among City departments, deliver better services in a timely fashion, and improve relationships between Residents and City government.

Along with this approval, we request the City include and publicize an expedited schedule for review and implementation of the various elements addressed within CF 17-1311, as well as full and immediate disclosure of any elements not being pursued and why.

The Community Impact Statement is based on the action taken at the regularly scheduled Board of Directors meeting on June 7, 2018, when the Silver Lake Neighborhood Council adopted the following motion by a vote of ___yes, ___no, ___abstaining and directed that a CIS be filed reflecting its position.

Motion: "The Silver Lake Neighborhood Council urges the City Council to adopt the recommendations of the FUSE Report on the Evaluation of the State of the Street Related Infrastructure Programs in Los Angeles.

Sincerely,

9.7.

Committee/Requestor: Carol Cetrone and Georgene Smith Goodin

Text of Motion: Recommend the SLNC support sending a letter to the new owners of the El Cid building to urge them to recognize the historical and cultural importance of the property.

Describe the event or project in detail:

El Cid is a local landmark, culturally and historically. See letter draft below.

What is the most important item about this motion?

See letter draft below.

Please explain how this motion benefits Silver Lake:

See letter draft below.

How will this motion be implemented, and by whom?

If recommended by the SLNC, a letter of support will be sent by the Secretary to the Council District and the property owner. Please note that due to timeliness, this was sent directly to the Board after discussion with UDP.

DRAFT LETTER IN SUPPORT OF EL CID:

Dear XXX:

We are writing to make sure you are aware of the historic significance of the building you have listed for lease at 4212 Sunset Boulevard (El Cid Restaurant). This 1915 building was originally one of the first silent movie theaters, and subsequently became the home to one of the earliest, if not the first, theme restaurant in Los Angeles: The Jail Cafe. Since 1932 it has operated as a caberet theatre, featuring thousands of artists from around the world. We hope you will advise potential tenants of this rich history, and impress upon them the community's desire to have the integrity of the building, both internal and external, respected and maintained.

Sincerely,

cc: Councilmember Mitch O'Farrell

9.8.

July 2, 2018

Committee/Requestor: Isroelit/Johnson

Text of Motion: Recommends that the Silver Lake Neighborhood Council fund up to \$800.00 an additional 60-70 "Slow Down Silver Lake" yard signs.

Committee Vote:

Describe the event or project in detail:

With the increase on traffic, more specifically cut thru traffic facilitated by WAZE and other apps, speeding and other forms of reckless driving has increased danger to pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists on our residential streets. Yard signs, similar to the ones posted in Atwater, could be useful tools in remind drivers to obey the rules of the road. The signs will include the SLNC logo, the city seal, and a message of "Slow Down, Silver Lake". The funding of up to \$800.00 on these signs is consistent with the outreach goals of NC's.

What is the timeline for the project?

Once approved by the board

What is the most important item about this motion?

Bringing attention to a wide ranging community safety concern. 65 signs were created and distributed, igniting excitement and demand. There is now a waiting list for requests. All 65 signs were requested for and distributed in less than 3 weeks. The signs can be seen in every region of Silver Lake.

Please explain how this motion benefits the SLNC and Silver Lake:

Bringing attention to a wide ranging Silver Lake neighborhood concern.

What is the total budget for the project? From which budget line are the funds to be drawn? If this is a funding motion, please submit a completed Funding Request Form to the Treasurer at least 3 days before the Governing Board Meeting.

Up to \$800.00. Outreach.

How will this motion be implemented, and by whom?

If the motion is supported by the SLNC, implemented by Outreach Committee