1) Call to Order

The meeting began at 7:02 pm with 19 Board members present.

2) Roll Call

Attending Board Members:
Nora Goudsmit, Vice Chair
Seth Copenhaver, Secretary
Lena Najarian Kaderali, Treasurer
Barbara Ringuette
Taryn Poole
Douglas Reed
Janis Purins (resigned during the meeting)
Anthony Crump
Jennifer Dibs
Ianthe Zevos
Mark Duncan
Paul Emmons
Nicholas Fox-Robbins
Lorraine Getz
Elaine Harris-Roark
Dulce Stein
Samantha Danner
Jeremy Black
Maebe A. Girl

Non-Attending Board Members:
Sarah Ullman
Douglas J. Loewy

3) Welcome and rules for actions on agenda items

Vice Chair Nora Goudsmit is acting chair as the Silver Lake Neighborhood Council (SLNC) has not elected co-chairs. She read an explanation of how the meeting would run.

She said that as chair she wants to open space for hardy discussion and public comment while all parties respect each other as neighbors. At first brush, challenging ourselves to move beyond past hurts, misperceptions, uncertainties, differing ideas, and preconceived notions may seen nearly impossible.

My first step will be to work very hard to create this space among us all to hear you, to take in your ideas, frustrations, and criticisms and concerns with equal value and weight.

I will not leave anyone out of this space. I hope to create it with you and I hope with the respect and regard that I show to each of you, we will reshape our culture in this room and take with us to better our community outside of this room, she concluded.

4) Public Comment on Non-Agenda Items

Frida Chen, Co-Chair, Silver Lake Wildlife Sanctuary, SLwildlifesanctuary@gmail.com, www.SilverLakeWildlifeSanctuary.org:

She offered a clarification. Yesterday we forwarded a letter to our members about tonight’s meeting. There was a question about the accuracy of the letter Katherine Generocous founded Swim Silver Lake as an online petition. She then moved her efforts to help form Silver Lake Forward. The two are not linked. Silver Lake Forward has not advocated for swimming in the Silver Lake Reservoir. We apologize for any misunderstanding.
Community groups can talk to each other via the master plan process and offer clarification about myths. She thanks the Department of Energy for providing this opportunity and this process.

Daniel Bergeron:

We have an ongoing issue with nuisance property behind us. Code violations with mud, a weird compound, her attempts to sue a neighbor and coming onto my property. This is an ongoing thing. He is seeking advice on how to deal with this. I can’t get a straight answer from the City. It’s supposedly a farm but they ain’t growing shit. He’s from the South and knows farmers.

Mark Duncan is familiar with this property. Daniel said they dumped trash into his property. He asked her pick it up and things escalated with surveillance cameras.

Barbara Ringuette suggested that he contact the Urban Design Committee. The neighborhood prosecutor drops by and deals with issues like this.

Heather Carson:

I was curious about the invalid meeting. The minutes are online. Will they stay online?

Janis Purins:

After our first meeting I was questioning what I was getting into during the campaign. I have been troubled by DONE (The Department of Neighborhood Empowerment). They have not been helpful. They have not given us advice with certitude.

The First meeting that we had last month was a shipwreck. I had to email John Darnell four times. I had a simple procedural question about expiration of committees. He didn’t get back to me.

I was really disappointed at the first meeting. I’ve never felt so unwelcome in a room. I saw finger wagging, angry looks, and indications that there had been serial communication beforehand to arrange an outcome.

Finally, I can’t get past Sarah Ullman being validated as a representative for Region 7. I can’t collaborate with her effectively to adequately represent my constituents on the Reservoir Committee or in Region 7. Therefore I will step down from the Board.

(Note: There are now 18 Board members here.)

There was a profanity-laden argument in the audience among stakeholders. Acting Chair Nora Goudsmit asked the people to leave the room to continue their discussion. Jerome Courshon was asked to leave the room by Seth Copenhaver.

Acting Chair Nora Goudsmit reminded people to conduct themselves with order and civility.

Barbara Ringuette:

She said that she attended the Planning 101 meeting. There are about 5-6 of them. The final meeting is a webinar which you can watch at your convenience.

Planning 101 covers lots of topics relating to the Planning Department. It provides an overview of what the Planning Department does. That’s important so you know where to find information and about big policy changes that will impact Silver Lake. You will want to know about community plans, which could come next year. Going to Planning 101 as a Board member is a must.

Ianthe Zevos said that she also attended the meeting. They also have YouTube videos that cover seminars that they’ve already had. They are very dense but informative.

Mark Duncan:

Some residents asked about getting more “Slow Down Silver Lake” signs and signs that say “No Sidewalks In The Area” as people don’t understand why they need to slow down. At the end of the Reservoir where it dead-ends, that area has become an unsafe dumping ground.

5) Administrative

a) Approval of Minutes

   i) Review and adopt minutes from Governing Board Special Meeting on February 26, 2019. *The minutes were approved of at unsanctioned, per DONE’s interpretation of and inconsistent application
of NC seating policy, Special Meeting of the Governing Board on April 22, 2019 and thus require approval.

Former Board member Jerome Courshon has two corrections. His public statement needs correction on page 2. Seth Copenhaver said to email them to him. He said that his other correction is very minor. At the bottom of page 4 where it says e.g.’s, that should be NPGs.

Former Board member Heather Carson also offered corrections. On page 4, Terry Jackson made a joke about a receipt for a six pack of beer for the elections committee meeting. Maybe say in parentheses that it was a joke?

A Woman asked about the invalidated meeting, was that about dogs? Nora Goudsmit said no.

**Vote for February 26 meeting minutes**

**Unanimous**

**PASSED**

**Yes Votes:**
Nora Goudsmit
Seth Copenhaver
Barbara Ringuette
Taryn Poole
Douglas Reed
Anthony Crump
Jennifer Dibs
Ianthe Zevos
Mark Duncan
Paul Emmons
Nicholas Fox-Robbins
Lorraine Getz
Elaine Harris-Roark
Dulce Stein
Samantha Danner
Jeremy Black
Maeb A. Girl
Lena Najarian Kaderali

**No Votes:**
None

**Abstentions:**
None

**ii) Review and adopt minutes from Governing Board Meeting on April 03, 2019.**

Jerome Courshon offered corrections. On Page 2, near the top, a lot of that was incorrect. He gave the text to Seth Copenhaver and will email it.

On Page 19 he noted that there were also incorrect comments. He gave the corrected version to Copenhaver and said he would also email them.

Jennifer Dibs asked him is that referring to his swearing? Is he removing that from the record?

Jerome Courshon said it’s verbatim. Copenhaver said the changes do retain the swear words.

Anthony Crump commented that the entire board should have the opportunity to review changes to minutes beforehand. Jerome Courshon offered to read them out loud. Crump said that was unnecessary.

Heather Carson noted a correction at the bottom of page 2 related to a mother of an Ivanhoe student. Her comment at bottom of page 3 was mangled. She will reread it.

“The City Attorney send an email that said supplemental documents should be the exception not the rule and the co-chairs decided to stop posting long agendas and if you’ve been to the website, all the motions and long agendas have been removed without discussion. That means that during the election season it’s not possible to look up motions
to evaluate the history of the Board. I called the City Clerk’s Office and she agreed that it was strange that this was done without Board approval.

After it says that it will take place Friday or Monday, add that I said “so, after the elections.” Add Johnson after Anne-Marie. Add Isroelit after Betsy.

On page 19, second paragraph, I said it’s unlikely that anyone running with Anne-Marie will vote yes on these censures. You’ve all witnessed in real time the wrath of Anne-Marie when you dare to stand up to you but I will say this, those of you who voted no on this will be saying that the Brown Act doesn’t matter and that the Bylaws do not matter and this will be part of the public record for all voters to see.

Page 24 should say Sarah Ullman. Page 26 should say Jane Cook, not James Cook.

Page 16, paragraph 6, I said if we vote to do that tonight, we won’t be able to get funding until after July.

Page 24 should say Sarah Ullman. Page 26 should say Jane Cook, not James Cook.

Page 8, line 15, change her sign to another illegal.

Carson said that she will send her corrections to Paul Emmons.

Paul Emmons made a motion to postpone approval of the April 3 minutes until the changes can be made.

Nora Goudsmit said that the idea here is to make sure that what Heather is saying can be reviewed by the entire Board. Carson replied that normally it’s just done verbally. Taryn Poole said we do need to see the changes and make sure they match our memories.

Nora Goudsmit asked both Carson and Courshon to put your changes in writing and send them to Seth Copenhaver. Then Board can approve them to make sure they are accurate and can be approved.

Courshon said that’s fine. In response to Poole’s comment, he said that those who are still on the Board won’t remember, really. He is offering audio recordings of the meetings to verify what he said. Nora Goudsmit said to simply furnish the documents so that we can approve them.

Samantha Danner said we want to review minutes as timely as possible. That should be done within the same month. That would help speed up things.

Goudsmit said to please submit your edits and we will review it and approve it. We will expedite it as much as possible. We will add it to June 5 agenda. Those who were present at those meetings can determine the accuracy of the corrections.

6) Unfinished Business

a) On Monday, April 22, 2019 between 7:30pm-9:00pm at the Silver Lake Recreation Center Gym / 1850 W. Silver Lake Dr. L.A. 90026 the previous Governing Board held an unsanctioned meeting, per DONE’s interpretation and inconsistent application of DONE’s NC seating policy, therefore DONE determined that no action could be taken to fund the following motions (listed below as: [1] – [15]). These motions will be considered as Unfinished Business and voted upon by the current Board, seated as of May 1, 2019, at tonight’s Special Board Meeting to secure funding for outstanding payments and NPGs.

i) Motion to discuss and take potential action on the following payments that remain outstanding; each will be considered, individually, for funding by this board. Current Secretary will make each motion for consideration and vote:

Goudsmit said we want to vote upon each of these individually.

Ianthe Zevos asked are these items already budgeted for in the $10,400 that we have? Treasurer Lena Najarian Kaderali said yes.

(1) (Treasurer) Payment of $1,667.00 to Beyond The Bell for additional use of Ivanhoe Elementary School Auditorium

Copenhaver read the motion. Paul Emmons seconded.

Samantha Danner asked that is for Board meetings and additional Board meetings? Goudsmit said this is for meetings held previously, including special Board meetings at Ivanhoe.

VOTE
Unanimous  
PASSED  

Yes Votes:  
Nora Goudsmit  
Seth Copenhaver  
Barbara Ringuette  
Taryn Poole  
Douglas Reed  
Anthony Crump  
Jennifer Dibs  
Ianthe Zevos  
Mark Duncan  
Paul Emmons  
Nicholas Fox-Robbins  
Lorraine Getz  
Elaine Harris-Roark  
Dulce Stein  
Samantha Danner  
Jeremy Black  
Maebe A. Girl  
Lena Najarian Kaderali  

No Votes:  
None  

Abstentions:  
None  

(2) (Treasurer) Payment of $240.00 to Amy Derrick for designs of 3 LMU Reservoir Survey Flyers, Banners and Ads  

Copenhaver made the motion. Poole seconded.  

Nicholas Fox-Robbins said that he was not familiar with her. Do we have individual invoices for each of the 5 items? Lena Najarian Kaderali said yes.  

Jeremy Black asked who is Amy Derrick? Copenhaver said that he understood that she was a vendor. Poole explained that then Secretary Betsy Isroelit and Outreach Co-Chair was in need of flyers, etc. She hired Amy Derrick to do these things.  

Jeremy Black asked is she related to Betsy Isroelit? Poole said they’d never met.  

Paul Emmons asked were these expenditures approved in a funding motion? Lena Najarian Kaderali said they were approved as general office expenditures. Copenhaver said it looks like the expenses were by project. Jeremy Black asked why wasn’t it invoiced as it was done? Kaderali explained.  

Paul Emmons said that we have a limit of $250 to spend for office expenses. Are they coming out of each month’s expenses? Goudsmit said this is specific to the Reservoir survey. It is not related to office expenses.  

Mark Duncan asked all of the work was approved at a sanctioned meeting? Goudsmit said we will say, unsanctioned meeting motions were made. Each was discussed and voted on at that time. We are revisiting them to pay outstanding money to those expecting to be paid.  

We’re making good on work already done. I would like to go off of the notion that the previous Board incurred expenses and tried to pay them. These are outstanding amounts that have not been paid.
Anthony Crump added that they are original funding motions prior to February when I joined. The work was performed. Now it’s a matter of paying for it.

Nicholas Fox-Robbins asked can the prior Board shed light on why these items weren’t paid until April?

Anthony Crump said I don’t know when we received the invoices. There are other questions too. My understanding was that the work was completed and that the invoices were sent in a timely manner. That is not in question

Heather Carson offered a history of the issue: The vendor’s name is Amy Detrick, not Derrick. She commented that it’s strange to not post motions. At the April 22 meeting I asked for invoices and dates and I was told no it wouldn’t be provided.

She doesn’t know whether Detrick and Betsy Isroelit ever met but she noted that on Detrick’s website is a quote from Isroelit saying how great she is and what great work she does for Isroelit’s company. In my mind, that speaks to an ethics violation. She was never approved by the Board. At the first meeting where we discussed a graphics person, one Board member asked, are you going to offer the Board three options to select from? That never happened.

The first person hired may have been her daughter. I do not know if that was true. And then this woman was hired. She was paid $65/hr., which is wildly out of sync with other vendors that we pay who work every month. We also never voted on that as a Board. We never voted on any of these items till they were rushed through at the special meeting, which is very strange to us as well. I

It’s true that at the beginning of the year that we voted on a global amount. But, as we learned during the funding audit, all outreach expenditures must be voted on by the Board individually. So, operational is different but not outreach. Graphic design is outreach.

Carson added that only the leadership had access to the graphic designer. I couldn’t call Amy and ask for graphics for my projects. So, I would really caution this Board to do some more investigation because it is suspect that it was sweep through a special meeting.

When I asked why invoices were $240, magically under that $250 mark – Carson was interrupted by Acting Chair Goudsmit in the interests of time.

Jerome Courshon cautioned that because these items were not voted on by the Board before the work was done, I would speak to Melvin Canas in the City Clerk’s Office. He’s the head of neighborhood council funding. Make sure that you can pay these. Because if you do it and there is an issue, they will come after you guys. Be sure that since these invoices came in and we never voted on these outreach items, that the City Clerk says it’s okay. You guys are new. Go ahead and pay. Make sure that you get this okay in writing from the City Clerk.

Taryn Poole said we did not have this information. Courshon said it’s not a new ruling. Some of us complained. Poole suggested that you should have complained a month ago. Acting Chair Goudsmit warned against a back and forth discussion. Poole said we didn’t have that information back then. Historically we work with DONE. My advice is to not go and revisit this. Historically we didn’t have this information back them so treat this as it was done at the time. Courshon disagreed.

Anthony Crump said that he agrees with Courshon to a degree. I think Board should approve the expenses. The work was done and done appropriately. If there is ever a question about payment, Kaderali should check with Melvin Canas and DONE Funding to make sure that it’s okay to pay them. If it’s not okay to pay them then it comes back to the Board in June. I don’t think that we should sit on the invoices
and withhold payment for work that’s been done. There’s been a lot of back and forth and accusations. It takes a simple phone call or a couple of email exchanges to make sure that the paperwork is in order.

Jeremy Black said the invoices are very sparse detail and don’t make a lot of sense. I’d like to table them until we have confirmation from DONE before we pay them.

Crump explained how a motion to table would supersede other motions. Goudsmith expressed concern regarding the dates. If we don’t pay them before June 1, my understanding is that we will have to re-agendize the items for the new fiscal year. If we approve this tonight, the funds will come from the current budget.

Nicholas Fox-Robbins said we need to do everything we can to move forward from the past Board. We need to have positivity from here on out. These motions keep us fixated on the past Board. We don’t have room for that in the environment that we’re trying to create.

*Dulce Stein seconded Black’s motion to table.*

**VOTE to table**

2-16

**FAILED**

*Yes Votes:* 
Dulce Stein 
Jeremy Black

*No Votes:* 
Nora Goudsmit 
Seth Copenhaver 
Lena Najarian Kaderali 
Barbara Ringuette 
Taryn Poole 
Douglas Reed 
Anthony Crump 
Jennifer Dibs 
Ianthe Zevos 
Mark Duncan 
Paul Emmons 
Nicholas Fox-Robbins 
Lorraine Getz 
Elaine Harris-Roark 
Samantha Danner 
Maebe A. Girl

*Abstentions:* 
None

*The original motion was seconded by Crump.*

**VOTE for original motion**

18-0-0

**PASSED**

*Yes Votes:* 
Nora Goudsmit 
Seth Copenhaver 
Lena Najarian Kaderali 
Barbara Ringuette 
Taryn Poole 
Douglas Reed 
Anthony Crump 
Jennifer Dibs 
Ianthe Zevos 
Mark Duncan 
Paul Emmons 
Nicholas Fox-Robbins 
Lorraine Getz
Elaine Harris-Roark
Dulce Stein
Samantha Danner
Jeremy Black
Maebe A. Girl

No Votes:
None

Abstentions:
None

(3) (Treasurer) Payment of $240.00 to Amy Derrick for design of flyer, banner, picture for Green Committee Lecture #1

Seth Copenhaver made the motion.

Lorraine Getz asked can we bundle these? Goudsmit said these are funding motions. The voting must be individual. Crump added that a roll call vote is required.

Barbara Ringuette seconded the motion.

Heather Carson warned you’re opening yourself up to an ethics violation. She was hired without a vote. Crump advised to ask Kaderali to double check the paper work.

Jeremy Black asked do we need to amend to say “pending DONE approval”? Paul Emmons said we are only voting to approve payment, We don’t handle the payments. Courshon said I agree with Crump. Check with the City Clerk before you execute payments.

VOTE
Unanimous
PASSED

Yes Votes:
Nora Goudsmit
Seth Copenhaver
Lena Najarian Kaderali
Barbara Ringuette
Taryn Poole
Douglas Reed
Anthony Crump
Jennifer Dibs
Ianthe Zevos
Mark Duncan
Paul Emmons
Nicholas Fox-Robbins
Lorraine Getz
Elaine Harris-Roark
Dulce Stein
Samantha Danner
Jeremy Black
Maebe A. Girl

No Votes:
None

Abstentions:
None

(4) (Treasurer) Payment of $85.00 to Amy Derrick for designs of flyer, banner, picture for Green Committee Lecture #2

Copenhaver made the motion. Mark Duncan seconded.

VOTE
18-0-0
PASSED
Yes Votes:
Nora Goudsmit
Seth Copenhaver
Barbara Ringuette
Taryn Poole
Douglas Reed
Anthony Crump
Jennifer Dibs
Ianthe Zevos
Mark Duncan
Paul Emmons
Nicholas Fox-Robbins
Lorraine Getz
Elaine Harris-Roark
Dulce Stein
Samantha Danner
Jeremy Black
Maebe A. Girl
Lena Najarian Kaderali

No Votes:
None

Abstentions:
None

(5) (Treasurer) Payment of $42.50 to Amy Derrick for designs of flyer, banner, picture for Green Committee Lecture #3

Copenhaver made the motion. Barbara Ringuette seconded.

VOTE
18-0-0
PASSED

Yes Votes:
Nora Goudsmit
Seth Copenhaver
Lena Najarian Kaderali
Barbara Ringuette
Taryn Poole
Douglas Reed
Anthony Crump
Jennifer Dibs
Ianthe Zevos
Mark Duncan
Paul Emmons
Nicholas Fox-Robbins
Lorraine Getz
Elaine Harris-Roark
Dulce Stein
Samantha Danner
Jeremy Black
Maebe A. Girl
Lena Najarian Kaderali

No Votes:
None

Abstentions:
None

(6) (Treasurer) Payment of $127.50 to Amy Derrick for designs of flyer, banner, picture for Green Committee Lecture #4

Copenhaver made the motion. Ringuette seconded.

VOTE
18-0-0
PASSED

Yes Votes:
Nora Goudsmit
Seth Copenhaver
Barbara Ringuette
Taryn Poole
Douglas Reed
Anthony Crump
Jennifer Dibs
Ianthe Zevos
Mark Duncan
Paul Emmons
Nicholas Fox-Robbins
Lorraine Getz
Elaine Harris-Roark
Dulce Stein
Samantha Danner
Jeremy Black
Maebe A. Girl
Lena Najarian Kaderali

No Votes:
None

Abstentions:
None

(7) (Treasurer) Payment of $127.50 to Amy Derrick for designs of flyers, banner and picture for Transportation Committee Community meeting WAZE.

Copenhaver made the motion. Elaine Harris-Rock seconded.

A Man said that other graphic designers told him that that was a very reasonable rate.

VOTE
18-0-0
PASSED

Yes Votes:
Nora Goudsmit
Seth Copenhaver
Barbara Ringuette
Taryn Poole
Douglas Reed
Anthony Crump
Jennifer Dibs
Ianthe Zevos
Mark Duncan
Paul Emmons
Nicholas Fox-Robbins
Lorraine Getz
Elaine Harris-Roark
Dulce Stein
Samantha Danner
Jeremy Black
Maebe A. Girl
Lena Najarian Kaderali

No Votes:
None

Abstentions:
None

(8) (Treasurer) Payment of $100.00 to Epperhart for additional SLNC newsletter

Copenhaver made the motion. Ringuette seconded.
Emmons asked what was that for? Copenhaver said that an extra special newsletter. There will be a flat invoice.

VOTE
18-0-0
PASSED

Yes Votes:
Nora Goudsmit
Seth Copenhaver
Barbara Ringuette
Taryn Poole
Douglas Reed
Anthony Crump
Jennifer Dibs
Ianthe Zevos
Mark Duncan
Paul Emmons
Nicholas Fox-Robbins
Lorraine Getz
Elaine Harris-Roark
Dulce Stein
Samantha Danner
Jeremy Black
Maebe A. Girl
Lena Najarian Kaderali

No Votes:
None

Abstentions:
None

(9) (Treasurer) Moves to transfer funds of up to $3,000.00 from Office and Elections budgets over to NPG budget. This takes a 2/3 vote of the board.

Copenhaver made the motion. Ringuette seconded.

This requires a 2/3 vote, said Goudsmit.

VOTE
18-0-0
PASSED

Yes Votes:
Nora Goudsmit
Seth Copenhaver
Barbara Ringuette
Taryn Poole
Douglas Reed
Anthony Crump
Jennifer Dibs
Ianthe Zevos
Mark Duncan
Paul Emmons
Nicholas Fox-Robbins
Lorraine Getz
Elaine Harris-Roark
Dulce Stein
Samantha Danner
Jeremy Black
Maebe A. Girl
Lena Najarian Kaderali

No Votes:
None

Abstentions:
None
(10) (Treasurer) Payment of NPG funding for previously approved $620.00 to Ivanhoe Elementary School for the purchase of a projector

Copenhaver made the motion. Black seconded.

Lena Kaderali and Lorraine Getz have children who attend Ivanhoe Elementary School. They are recusing themselves.

Copenhaver conducted this vote.

VOTE
16-0-0-2
PASSED

Yes Votes:
Nora Goudsmit
Seth Copenhaver
Barbara Ringuette
Taryn Poole
Douglas Reed
Anthony Crump
Jennifer Dibs
Ianthe Zevos
Mark Duncan
Paul Emmons
Nicholas Fox-Robbins
Elaine Harris-Roark
Dulce Stein
Samantha Danner
Jeremy Black
Maebe A. Girl

No Votes:
None

Abstentions:
None

Recusals:
Lorraine Getz
Lena Najarian Kaderali

(11) (Nina Sorkin) NPG funding of up to $500.00 for 2020 Relay For Life, sponsored by the American Cancer Assoc.

Copenhaver made the motion for Nina Sorkin. Ringuette seconded.

Paul Emmons asked what does this pay for? Copenhaver said that he was at that meeting. She does this every year. Crump said it’s for a formal sponsorship. We’ve done it for about 9 years.

Barbara Ringuette said that my husband was speaker at a Relay for Life event. It’s a really valuable event. Families hope for getting the treatment that they need. Goudsmit added that Sorkin said there is something for Marshall High School as well. Visit their website.

VOTE
Unanimous
PASSED

Yes Votes:
Nora Goudsmit
Seth Copenhaver
Barbara Ringuette
Taryn Poole
Douglas Reed
Anthony Crump
Jennifer Dibs
Ianthe Zevos
Mark Duncan
Paul Emmons
Nicholas Fox-Robbins
Lorraine Getz
Elaine Harris-Roark
Dulce Stein
Samantha Danner
Jeremy Black
Maebe A. Girl
Lena Najarian Kaderali

No Votes:
None

Abstentions:
None

(12) (Mayberry Elementary School) NPG funding of up $750.00 for the Mayberry Elementary School Garden

*Copenhaver made the motion. Dulce Stein seconded.*

Carson asked is there an NPG? Goudsmit replied yes. Former Board member Georgene Smith-Goodin said that people who have NPGs on the agenda didn’t receive notice about this meeting. So don’t take offence that they are not here.

VOTE
17-0-0
PASSED

Yes Votes:
Nora Goudsmit
Seth Copenhaver
Barbara Ringuette
Douglas Reed
Anthony Crump
Jennifer Dibs
Ianthe Zevos
Mark Duncan
Paul Emmons
Nicholas Fox-Robbins
Lorraine Getz
Elaine Harris-Roark
Dulce Stein
Samantha Danner
Jeremy Black
Maebe A. Girl
Lena Najarian Kaderali

No Votes:
None

Abstentions:
None

(Note: Taryn Poole was out of the room. She did not vote.)

(13) (Friends of Mitcheltorena) NPG Funding of up to $500.00 for ToolKit Books in Spanish and English to accompany the 12 Tools, a training program provided to Mitcheltorena St. School staff and teachers.

*Copenhaver made the motion. Anthony Crump recused himself because his daughter is a student there. Jeremy Black seconded.*

Geerene: Smith-Goodin said that she brought information about the program. We got $2,000 of money from parents, she said.

A Teacher said that her daughter is trying to be a part of this program. It seems like a good program.

VOTE
17-0-0-1
PASSED

Yes Votes:
Nora Goudsmit
Seth Copenhaver
Barbara Ringuette
Taryn Poole
Douglas Reed
Jennifer Dibs
Ianthe Zevos
Mark Duncan
Paul Emmons
Nicholas Fox-Robbins
Lorraine Getz
Elaine Harris-Roark
Dulce Stein
Samantha Danner
Jeremy Black
Maebe A. Girl
Lena Najarian Kaderali

No Votes:
None

Abstentions:
None

Recusals:
Anthony Crump

(14) (Green Committee) The Green Committee moves to request the allocation of up to $500 by the Silver Lake Neighborhood Council Governing Board to: support the formation of Litter League cleaning teams organized through the Green Committee and support a kick-off event for recruited volunteers with refreshments and purchase needed tools such as “pickup sticks” and manual trash collection devices as needed for teams.

Copenhaver made the motion.

Nicholas Fox-Robbins said that he is a co-creator. It opens Sunday.

Nicholas Fox-Robbins and Mark Duncan are recusing themselves as co creators.

Barbara Ringuette seconded.

VOTE
16-0-0-2
PASSED

Yes Votes:
Nora Goudsmit
Seth Copenhaver
Barbara Ringuette
Taryn Poole
Douglas Reed
Anthony Crump
Jennifer Dibs
Ianthe Zevos
Mark Duncan
Paul Emmons
Nicholas Fox-Robbins
Lorraine Getz
Elaine Harris-Roark
Dulce Stein
Samantha Danner
Jeremy Black
Maebe A. Girl
Lena Najarian Kaderali

No Votes:
(15) (Barbara Ringuette) move to support funding for the Neighborhood Council Budget Advocates 2019 in the amount of $500.00

Copenhaver made the motion. Taryn Poole seconded.

Nicholas Fox-Robbins asked what is it? Ringuette explained that we meet monthly and provide training. We prepare for meetings with 39 City departments. We publish a White Paper and attend Budget Day, etc. You all are invited. Stakeholders too. She added that there are lots of printing costs.

Ianthe Zevos asked is this the typical budget that you’ve had for this in the past? Ringuette said yes.

Dulce Stein asked when is this? Ringuette responded that Budget Day will be held June 29 and includes breakfast.

VOTE
Unanimous
PASSED

Yes Votes:
Nora Goudsmit
Seth Copenhaver
Barbara Ringuette
Taryn Poole
Douglas Reed
Anthony Crump
Jennifer Dibs
Ianthe Zevos
Mark Duncan
Paul Emmons
Nicholas Fox-Robbins
Lorraine Getz
Elaine Harris-Roark
Dulce Stein
Samantha Danner
Jeremy Black
Maebe A. Girl
Lena Najarian Kaderali

No Votes:
None

Abstentions:
None

b) Select Representatives to Stakeholder Working Group as part of the Reservoir Master Plan – The Bureau of Engineering has asked for (2) representatives from each of the SLNC, Silver Lake Conservancy, Silver Lake Forward, and Silver Lake Now. As part of the community engagement process, the group will offer guidance to the Master Plan Team and share community concerns. The group will meet at 10AM on Wednesday May 22, Thursdays, July 18, September 26, Monday, December 23, and in April 2020 at the Public Works Building

Goudsmit discussed the procedure for the election to make it as open and transparent as possible. She read a text about procedure.

Dulce Stein asked there is also an alternate? Goudsmit said I wasn’t told about an alternate. Stein said that there was an email sent today. Goudsmit said I didn’t receive that email either. We’ll stick with the agenda. Elaine Harris-Roark said that other groups were asked for an alternate.
Mark Duncan said an email asked us to not submit someone who might be in another group. Goudsmit said I'm not familiar with that. Nicholas Fox-Robbins said I sent an email asking that question. They confirmed that they could.

Taryn Poole commented that there is a great deal more in that email than that answer. They mention a conflict of interest and possible Brown Act violations.

Goudsmit said we'll take nominations. Ianthe Zevos asked are these meetings open to the public? Goudsmit said a group of people was invited. I wasn't. We want nominations from current board members and to make selections. Are there any objections about this procedure?

A Woman said no member could have overlapping membership in other organizations. Then they changed provided they only represent the interests of one organization. That's iffy in my mind. In a second email we were warned about the Brown Act and transparency.

A Woman noted that neither Janis Purins nor Sarah Ulman are here. There is a question about the representation of Region 7.

Crump noted that the two regional representatives are not present. There are also at large representatives to represent the community as a whole.

Nominations: for Position 1:

*Barbara Ringuette nominated Taryn Poole. Maebe A. Girl seconded.*

*Nicholas Fox-Robbins nominated himself.*

*Dulce Stein nominated Jeremy Black. Mark Duncan seconded.*

*Mark Duncan nominated himself Nicholas Fox-Robbins seconded.*

*Sarah Ulman was nominated.*

*Paul Emmons was nominated by Mark Duncan.*

Taryn Poole said I am a Region 6 representative. I have been Animal Liaison for the past few years. I’ve lived in Silver Lake for 26 years. She read a statement. She said that she wants to decide the best way to use funds for all stakeholders of Silver Lake. She wants to keep in mind those who live near the Reservoir and the wildlife. Wildlife needs space to thrive. We have much to learn from them. She loves dogs and cats. She is a passionate voice for the Silver Lake community. She will look for beautiful incremental and balanced proposals. She went over her allotted 2-minute time. There was applause.

Nicholas Fox-Robbins said that he is an At-Large Representative. He is a native Angelino who’s lived in Silver Lake for the past 10 years. He said that this is a contentious issue. I can bring dispassionate interest. I’m not pushing for a particular objective. I want an all-inclusive process discussed in a civil way. I have a flexible schedule so I can attend all meetings. I plan to listen to all perspectives fairly and represent all of Silver Lake.

Jeremy Black said that he represents Region 5. He lives ½ mile from the Reservoir. He goes there 3–4 times a week with his dog. He wants to protect wildlife. I respect resident fears about traffic and more people and the homeless at the Reservoir. That said, it’s a Resource for the City at large. It is a great place to be and to experience nature. Now it’s a wasted space. Not many people have access. I want a transparent open process where we can explore all ideas.

Mark Duncan said the green space is one of most valuable things in our area. Los Angeles is so green deprived. It’s important that we maintain the wilderness that we have. He would love to see an exercise course to make it more of a community area. We will have to figure out parking.

He wants the DWP (Department of Water and Power) to be responsive to the community in terms of the office building that they plan to build at the lake. My street is being rebuilt by the city. that’s not a smooth or transparent process. I don’t want that to happen with the Reservoir.

Sarah Ulman, through a statement read by Goudsmit, said that she wants a process to be transparent and inclusive. This is the crown jewel of our community. She wants to expand community participation in the Master Plan process. She has communications skills in video and social media. Community outreach is essential. I do not own property near the Reservoir. She has no investment or liability related to the Reservoir. She spends hundreds of hours at the Reservoir, the statement said.
Paul Emmons said that he is a native Angelino who’s lived in Silver Lake for 30 years. The Reservoir is something that he’s very concerned about. He wants better utilization. Los Angeles has smaller park space compared to other major cities.

The Reservoir is a beautiful resource. It can be better utilized with more access while still balancing the needs of residents and wildlife. He expressed concern about others coming here. I don’t think anyone wants that. He wants to use the space that is fenced off.

The DWP has set aside 1/3 of the property for their use. We should have some input on that. The DWP vehicles there are not used for the Reservoir.

Anthony Crump said that it’s great to see the interest. What’s missing from the statements was the will of the Board. They will represent us as a Board, collectively. You must be a liaison. Be clear that these representatives will represent the Board, not personal interests or personal perspectives.

Jeremy Black said I would echo that. There has been controversy that some people are not residents of our community or region. That is not the system that we have. It should not be a consideration.

Dulce Stein asked how do we represent the community? Do we work with the Master Plan? It’s only a small group that attends meetings.

Elaine Harris Roark said there will be a community meeting for this. There will be lots of outreach. We get people to attend meetings.

Dulce Stein commented that I don’t see the Hispanic community at the center. I’m concerned about inclusion. There was applause.

Seth Copenhaver said that what Crump said makes a lot of sense. By proxy, this council does represent the people. Anything that we do here is meant to be representative of the community. There are lots more people in this community. They need to know this is happening.

Mark Duncan said I want to be liaison for the community. The flow of information for the project on his street hasn’t been good. We must be advocates not just liaisons.

Barbara Ringuette explained that residents near the Reservoir will be most affected by the Master Plan. We must avoid the appearance of bias. Anyone on another organization should work with that organization so that we can have an unbiased person. The appearance of bias is a concern. She wants a representative with an intimate knowledge of the Reservoir and lives near there. There was extended applause.

Paul Emmons said that whoever is selected for this job – and I agree with Crump – their primary job is to liaise for the Board.

Douglas Reed asked did the organizations invited include the Silver Lake Wildlife Sanctuary? Frida Chen said yes. Reed asked have those groups appointed their representatives? Frida Chen said yes for us. Our deadline was today. A representative of Silver Lake Now said that we also had a deadline today.

Jennifer Dibs commented about all of the emails from the neighborhood to the Board. What about them and the conflicts of interest for Sarah Ullman? Goudsmith responded that these emails become part of the binder, the record. The public can view these.

Copenhaver said I’ve been getting the emails, about 20-25 of them. Taryn Poole said you start to notice when there are more than 5 emails. 25 emails is quite a bit. I get the sense that it was a movement of people., commented Copenhaver.

Jennifer Dibs said I have concerns about the appearance about two people who are on Silver Lake Forward being our representatives too. It’s best to choose others. There was applause.

Ianthe Zevos said I represent Silver Lake Forward. We don’t live near the Reservoir but we use it. We want better access to the Reservoir. I like the idea of representatives reporting back to the Board so that we can represent the people. Can people disclose if they are part of the other groups? Sarah Ullman is part of the Silver Lake Forward advisory board, said Goudsmith.

Crump said it’s good practice to share emails related to agendized items. We need to recognize that we have received this communication. Make a commitment to incorporate that into verbal discussion.
at meetings. Goudsmit said we want to clarify with DONE about content. Crump said that’s not a critique, just a suggestion.

Jennifer Dibs said some of them asked for their emails to be read in public. Mark Duncan asked are we allowed to make public their telephone numbers? Goudsmit said no.

Ringuette said that once upon a time the secretary read or summarized emails. That would be helpful. Goudsmit commented that 90% of emails alluded to how long that people have lived here. Some of us are relatively new.

Elaine Harris-Roark observed that these emails came in at the request of one organization. The bias is pretty extreme.

Jeremy Black commented about accusations against Sarah Ullman. This is a special meeting that was not on the calendar. She had a trip planned already that could not be changed, he explained.

Dulce Stein asked can we choose an alternate? Goudsmit replied I was not aware of an alternate. We can broach the idea of an alternate thereafter.

Heather Carson said that she is alarmed by Ringuette’s statement that it has to be a resident. That is a violation of the Bylaws. Carson is a member of the Friends of Silver Lake Library along with Sarah Ullman. She has great social media tools, said Carson.

A Woman commented that the District 7 representative lied about living in the district, according to the Los Feliz Ledger. I don’t trust her and she shouldn’t be considered. Maebe A. Girl explained that she was living in region 7 when applied. You can move and retain your status. She wasn’t lying.

Frida Chen said there is such a cloud over Sarah Ullman. She is the founder and an advisory board member. She is a terrific person. They should nominate her. That said, you have terrific candidates here, no reason to saddle new Board with something like that. I would not want someone from my organization to be the representative with the SLNC. It would be a conflict. She added that what Dulce Stein said is a terrific thought. Each representative should have an open house for constituents.

Wendy, who lives 5 houses from the Reservoir, said I disagree with that. The Reservoir Is a public space. When you look at who uses the Reservoir, it’s not just people nearby. There’s a lot of NIMBY-ism (Not In My Backyard). The meadow has been a beautiful resource and the new path. We don’t need a rep just for those who live very near the park. It’s for the whole city. The DWP (Department of Water and Power) is done. This is now a Bureau of Engineering issue.

A Man said that he is curious about accessing the binders? Goudsmit directed him to the binders here. Why isn’t it available electronically, he asked. We can figure out a way for it to be electronic. Mark: Duncan expressed concern about personal information in the binders. Goudsmit said it’s only in the binder if they want it there.

Another Man said that Taryn Poole called me yesterday about this. We’re in agreement. We need an advocate to do that. Our planet has been being destroyed for a million years. That park is what we have to defend. That’s our little piece of green. Not everyone has that point of view. We need here there. The wildlife is being squeezed out. Someone has to defend it. You need a strong voice.

A Woman said I live along the Reservoir. I love it with all my heart. I want a resident on the taskforce. She added that she likes the meadow and the new paths.

Courshon commented that for the Bureau of Engineering (BOE) meetings, the BOE has said that there’s going to be as many as 16 community meetings. You all need to show up. Regarding emails, there was an email that went out written by a former co-chair to Frida Chen’s group. For the public emails in thee binder, read the personal information, he advised.

A Woman who says that she lives 150 feet from the Reservoir is very interested in wildlife. Many people are interested in the wildlife. I got that email. It was disinformation. It was only half factual. If you are a stakeholder and you don’t live nearby, that doesn’t make you a liar. I really thought we would move away from a Trump-like way to conduct ourselves. We risk further demise.

A Woman said the two representatives will attend the BOE meetings. Will they be open to the public? Goudsmit said no. The Woman said this is outrageous. Different groups are being represented, explained Goudsmit.

The Woman asked are these legitimate groups that aren’t open to public? She’s been here 35 years. Then, there were private groups. This is a farce. These are not legitimate Silver Lake
representatives. They are very private and very discriminatory that aren’t open to the public. Finally we have the SLNC. Finally we have a public forum with minutes and rules and the Brown Act.

Patty Jausoro, former treasurer for the SLNC, said that she’s now on the Silver Lake Conservancy. There are lots of groups here. The goal of the meetings is to bring back information. There is lots of fear here. That needs to change to optimism. Everyone is scared and loves wildlife. We have common interests and appoint people. Whatever, it doesn’t matter. They will get the information and bring it back. We will all get the same information.

A Woman said there will be a public process where everyone can attend. The working group that we’re discussing represents different organizations of stakeholders. They provide liaison and feedback to consultants to the BOE. Everyone is represented at broad public outreach meetings. It’s not a clandestine approach to exclude people and withhold information.

A Woman asked did you send out anything to people about this meeting? I’ve been on your email list for years. Copenhaver replied that it’s on social media. The Woman replied that I found out about this meeting yesterday. Getting 25 emails is significant. The SLNC is supposed to be the voice of the people. Please, in your hearts, there’s not supposed to be biases. This Master Plan will determine the future of the Reservoir. These people need an open heart and an open mind and not have agendas.

Another Woman said I echo Taryn Poole’s and Jennifer Dib’s concerns about possible conflicts of interest and participation on the neighborhood council. Use common sense. I’m represented by Janis Purins and Sarah Ullman. I want a reliable representative. It does not feel as though Sarah Ullman can be a reliable representative in district 7 because of her affiliation with Silver Lake Forward. Use common sense. Her reliability will be in question.

Heather Carson raised a binder concern. Until recently, a full agenda was posted on the website. That’s irresponsible as board members to not have that. Reach out to the City Attorney. Clarify that supplemental documents should be the exception not the rule. I believe that refers to additional materials. The full motion should be placed on the website so that we can understand the intentions.

Taryn Poole said I’ve been a representative here for over 30 years. I know how to represent clearly and fairly. I’ve represented a news station. I’ve spent 36 years representing something in Silver Lake. I was the second highest vote getter in all regions. That means something. I feel the weight of that. I really want to represent you all.

Nicholas Fox-Robbins said my position of dispassionate interest is even more applicable. I’m in a good position to do so. I had the second highest votes in the entire election.

Jeremy Black said I have a work conflict. I withdraw.

Mark Duncan said that a big part of the job is to be an advocate. It’s not just getting information. No one has said we want a bigger DWP building.

**VOTE (straw poll) for first Liaison position**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taryn Poole – 5 votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Samantha Danner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Ringuette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas Reed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Dibs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taryn Poole</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nicholas Fox-Robbins – 11 votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nicholas Fox-Robbins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorraine Getz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nora Goudsmit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaine Harris-Roark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dulce Stein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seth Copenhaver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony Crump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ianthe Zevos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeremy Black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maebie A. Girl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena Kaderali</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mark Duncan – 1 vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mark Duncan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sarah Ullman – 0 votes
None

Paul Emmons – 1 vote
Paul Emmons

VOTE for Nicholas Fox-Robbins
Unanimous
PASSED

Mark Duncan withdrew from further consideration.

Frida Chen said that Taryn Poole was too modest. She has outstanding media abilities. What I most like is her character. She is fair, willing to here people out, she would lend her ear to anyone.

A Man said it would do a lot toward the healing of this rift if Taryn Poole is elected. She has a good heart. She has no other agenda. A Woman added that she has always been fair and working toward the good of this community. I’m happy to see her elected.

VOTE for second Liaison Position

Paul Emmons – 6 votes
Mark Duncan
Paul Emmons
Nicholas Fox-Robbins
Lorraine Getz
Seth Copenhaver
Jeremy Black

Taryn Poole – 12 votes
Nora Goudsmit
Elaine Harris-Roark
Dulce Stein
Samantha Danner
Barbara Ringuette
Douglas Reed
Anthony Crump
Jennifer Dibs
Ianthe Zevos
Lena Kaderali
Taryn Poole
Anthony Crump

Sarah Ullman – 0 votes
None

VOTE for Taryn Poole as Liaison
Unanimous
PASSED

7) New Business

a) (Secretary) Discussion and possible action on Liaison appointment(s) made on May 1, 2019 to include additions from the Board and/or Public and to ask if any Board member no longer wanted to be a Liaison as appointed on May 1, 2019.

Dulce Stein said I wanted to be included in the last meeting as Film Liaison and also as Homeless Liaison. Goudsmit said these positions don’t require a vote. You are now appointed.

Nicholas Fox-Robbins removed himself as liaison for LANCC (the Los Angeles Neighborhood Council Coalition).

Barbara Ringuette said that Nina Sorkin and Stephanie Vendig want appointments. Sorkin wants to be on the River Committee. Both of them want to join another committee. Goudsmit said that she is clarifying that they are appointed for those roles.

Paul Emmons said that he wants to be a Public Safety Liaison.
Barbara Ringuette said that Nina Sorkin wanted to be on the Bridge Committee not the River Committee. That is a correction. Goudsmit said I think she is appropriately appointed.

b) (Secretary) Discussion and possible action on selection of additional Standing Committee(s) and Ad Hoc Committee(s); (Public Comment 5/1/2019, referencing the creation of an Education Committee)

Goudsmit asked is there interest in creating a new committee? Maebe A Girl said that she wants to form an LGBTQ (Lesbian Gay Bisexual Trans-Gender Queer) committee for Silver Lake.

Anthony Crump made the motion. Taryn Poole seconded.

Samantha said that at the joint meeting of the Homeless, Housing and Tenants Rights, and the Mature Adults Committees yesterday there was a discussion about creating an ad hoc committee to improve communications between all three committees. We will discuss this at the next Board meeting.

Lorraine Harris-Roark said that she wants a small business committee. Heather Carson said there is one. It just needs a leader.

Crump said that the board does need to establish ad hoc committees. The board does vote. Goudsmit said we can put these on the agenda for June. Girl said I would prefer that to gather more details and have a bigger audience.

Anthony Crump withdrew his motion.

A Woman asked about an education committee? Nora wants to agendize that for the June 5 meeting. The woman said that she wants to join that committee.

Heather Carson said that I spoke to Jill. It used to be called the Education and Families Committee. Goudsmit said I have it as a future agenda item.

c) (Secretary) Discussion and possible action on having LADWP, Dawn Cotterell/Michael Ventre/Or like representatives brief the SLNC on projects at the Silver Lake Reservoir Complex on June 5, 2019 at the regular Governing Board Meeting.

Goudsmit said that she received an email from the DWP. They want to come brief us.

Taryn Poole said I want to hear about their development plans. Lorraine Harris-Roark said she wants to open restrooms at the Reservoir. Ianthe Zevos said that she wants to hear about their new building.

How many employees are working there, asked Harris-Roark. Mark Duncan asked what is the purpose of the employees there? Are they doing something related to the site?

Jeremy Black noted that they’ve carved out a whole section of the reservoir. Why? Dulce Stein asked will they pay for any community programs? Lorraine Getz has a Master Plan question.

Goudsmit said that she would like a motion to extend the meeting for 15 minutes.

Ianthe Zevos made the motion. Samantha Danner seconded.

Taryn Poole asked is the building part of the Master Plan?

Paul Emmons asked who owns the property? The DWP can’t own the land. The city owns it so it’s the people’s land. It’s a philosophical argument. Crump added that it’s a legal argument as well.

Barbara Ringuette said there are 3 propriety entities in the City. They do own the property.

Douglas Reed said that it’s a great idea to bring them back into the Master Plan. That’s a really hot issue.

Goutsmit asked would you like me to come up with a list of questions and send them out to Board members? Be mindful of the Brown Act in your discussions, she cautioned. You can send me back comments about the questions. I’ll be the point of contact. Crump added that those comments can only go to you. D not hit “Reply All”.
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8) Future Agenda Items

a) (Secretary) Move to bring together Committee Co-Chairs to provide Committee Reports at June 5 Governing Board Regular Meeting and determine interest in current co-chairs continuing on in current roles; Advisory Committee Co-Chairs requires nomination and election by Board within 4 weeks of May 1, 2019 meeting – NOTE: SLNC Standing Rules (#29) indicate that “All SLNC advisory committees shall elect co-chairs within four (4) weeks of the seating of the new Governing Board and every twelve (12) months thereafter”. Due to DONE’s interpretation of the seating policy as being 04/15/2019, this DONE policy interpretation is in conflict with our SLNC Standing Rules and requires discussion due to the date having passed 5/13/2019.)

Goudsmit discussed seating and DONE’s policy interpretation. Our standing rules shall elect co-chairs within a month of seating of the new Board. That deadline was yesterday.

Regarding bringing together the co-chairs. Do they want to continue in those roles? She said that she wants to do that all at once. But now we’re in violation of our own standing rules. She wants to discuss this June 5.

Paul Emmons made motion a to contact the co-chairs of the standing committees. Samantha Danner said we should also invite them to that meeting. Goudsmit read a list of standing committees.

Girl made an amendment to invite them to the meeting. Jeremy Black seconded.

VOTE for amended motion
Unanimous
PASSED

Yes Votes:
Nora Goudsmit
Seth Copenhaver
Barbara Ringuette
Taryn Poole
Douglas Reed
Anthony Crump
Jennifer Dibs
Ianthe Zevos
Mark Duncan
Paul Emmons
Nicholas Fox-Robbins
Lorraine Getz
Elaine Harris-Roark
Dulce Stein
Samantha Danner
Jeremy Black
Maebe A. Girl
Lena Najarian Kaderali

No Votes:
None

Abstentions:
None

b) (Secretary) Move to schedule a Bylaws and Standing Rules Committee meeting.

Goudsmit said that after our Board meeting on June 5, we can have a schedule for Bylaws. The blackout period has ended so we can change bylaws.

Ianthe Zevos noted that the Standing Rules on the website are not the same as those in our packets. Goudsmit said I will look at that. I’ve been using those on the website. Crump cautioned that there have been a number of changes to standing rules over years that may not be reflected there.

Paul Emmons wants to move the July 3 Board meeting to July 10.

Lorraine Getz made the motion to move the meeting to July 10. Jeremy Black seconded.

We should schedule a Bylaws Committee meeting for June 5. Have the chairs be selected for that and they can decide when they want to meet and then on July 10 we can review this, said Goudsmit.

VOTE
Unanimous
PASSED

Yes Votes:
Nora Goudsmit
Seth Copenhaver
Barbara Ringuette
Taryn Poole
Douglas Reed
Anthony Crump
Jennifer Dibs
Ianthe Zevos
Mark Duncan
Paul Emmons
Nicholas Fox-Robbins
Lorraine Getz
Elaine Harris-Roark
Dulce Stein
Samantha Danner
Jeremy Black
Maebe A. Girl
Lena Najarian Kaderali

No Votes:
None

Abstentions:
None

Goudsmit said let’s schedule the meeting on June 5. The Chairs can decide when they want to meet. We can review changes on July 10.

Barbara Ringuette said the co-chairs are selected at the first meeting of committees. You’re proposing something else? Goudsmit said our seating date was April 15. We didn’t have the opportunity to elect any co-chairs within that time frame.

Crump said we set the meeting date, run the election, then there is confirmation by the Board at a later date. But some committees haven’t in years. In that case, a board member would take the lead. He suggests, if the Board wants to appoint co-chairs at the June 5 meeting, to allow the co-chairs to do outreach and get more public participation. Taryn Poole agrees.

Goudsmit said let’s put this on hold.

10) Adjournment

Emmons made a motion to adjourn.

The meeting ended at 10:17pm.