

## Special Governing Board Meeting

- Opening: 7:36 Nicholas Fox Robbins called meeting to order.
  - Role Call - 17 members
    - Mark - Here
    - Paul - Here
    - Nick - Here
    - Lorain - absent
    - Nora - Here
    - Elaine - Here
    - Dolce - Absent
    - Samantha - Here
    - Tina - Here
    - Barbara - Here
    - Ben - Here
    - Seth - Here
    - Anthony - Her
    - Imran - Here
    - Ianthe - Here
    - Jeremey - Here
    - Maebe - Here
    - Lena - Here
    - Scott - Here
    - Joana - Absent
    - Sarah - Here
- Nicholas: Quick remarks to open. LAte due to troubleshooting, the best way to utilize a single zoom account for multiple committees. Tonight was less successful packing 3 meetings into 1 night, each meeting prior needed to end before the next started. Will continue to troubleshoot. Anthony and I have heard from council and public regarding the pace of the July meeting, it was rushed and fast, those concerns are heard and will be worked on. Empowering committees to do more. Don't want a repeat of July where things were not spoken of in depth or tabled. Basic rules / raising hand and 1 minute time frame.
- General Public Comment - Nonagenda items.
  - Debbie: In regards to the July meeting, the poor parks equity motion, I was disappointed. Several motions on the agenda in regards to police action/racism/etc.. There was an opportunity to step up and this board did not. Board needs to be able to make unpopular, uncomfortable decisions.
    - Nicholas: We are comfortable with needing to make those decisions, thank you.
  - David Wheatley: Unpopular decision taking the Black Lives Matter signs down. Bold decisions being made about moving funding need to be backed up by facts. No wild statements that things "Should be changed". Need the committee to investigate "pet projects." Represent Silverlake.
  - Amanda Lasher: When the park issue arose, it was too rushed. There was no time for discussion. This is the type of thing that needs to be addressed (redistribution of finances).
- Board Comments - Nonagenda Items
  - Ben: Bringing something to the public via Jackie. \$103 million lottery to cover emergency relief for rent. Closes July 17th at Midnight.

- Samantha: Length of the agenda, last meeting was too long. President of the board deciding what is on an agenda is a poor way to decide the agenda. It needs to be more of a group created agenda.
- Ianthe: As a board, could we send out that information Ben spoke about on socials. From Monday through Friday, and want to get it to as many people as possible.
- New Business:
  - Motion to approve neighborhood purpose grant to streighter. 2nd by Paul.
    - Wayne Lilly: Streighter places students into schools, partnering with LAUSD wellness programs w/ 50 pilot schools in the greater LA area. Request is for one of the schools in Silverlakes to become one of the pilot schools. It is a fleet of bikes (14 inch balance bike) 22 Bikes, 22 helmets, 22 conversion kits with online training for the teacher with 5 years of support. Goal is to help all Kindergarteners learn how to ride a bike, based off of the balance bike in PE class. Balance bike turns into a pedal bike over 8 total classes. Why now? Childhood development never pauses. Want to provide the single most important skills a child can learn now. This program strengthens current PE needs, Spacial awareness, safe distance, limited touch point, and overall health as well as community building.
      - Questions:
        - Debbie Question: Concerned about the budget related to this program. I request the amount be reduced as \$4,000 is excessive.
          - Maebe Answer: MPG amount left is \$4,730 for the rest of the year.. Started the year at \$12,500. Something to keep in mind. I will be sure to bring up our remaining funds in every meeting.
            - Nicholas: We will add that update to the administrative section of the agenda moving forward.
        - Scott: First question - What are the plans relating to if students will be back in school next term and what does that affect. Second question, has the school been accepted or is that up to our discretion.
          - Wayne Lilly: Biggest question is how will schools resume. We are fully prepared to be part of the solution with limited touchpoints and social distancing. It is an in person program. We consider it a designated gift, so it would be used for a school in your neighborhood. With a verbal commitment, we will reach out to a school to get them on board. Happy to work with any specific school this board wishes, if not, we will reach out more openly.
        - Samantha: Will the schools actually maintain ownership of the bikes, or how is that managed. Also, are there any plans to make the program more flexible with or without school in the fall.
          - Wayne Lilly: The fleet of bikes became complete property of the school. We provide storage solutions and help the school with any logistics. They have a lifespan of 5 years, and it comes down to about 10\$ per child.

Theoretically a 6th grader could see a KG learn on the same bike they learned on.

- Nicholas: If we do agree to fund it and the school year does not occur, can we have the funds returned to us if there is no program that takes place.
  - Wayne: Two angles could be approached, find the school, have them agree, and have the school take the bikes. The other thing is the school can differ until the school comes to session and hold the funds until it has begun.
- Barbara: Are you a national organization? Are there local people on your board, or what is the local participation? Where else are you looking for funding?
  - Wayne Lilly: We are a national program looking for places to host around the country. As far as the board, local participation in LA is nonexistent on our board. We continue to speak with a variety of business and other folks in the area. Neighborhood councils are one of the stakeholders, but we continue to have conversations across all of LA.
- Lena: I ask we push the motion back to see when school actually begins to hold funding that may be needed, Wayne Lilly to answer.
  - Wayne Lilly: I appreciate that completely and we want to help as soon as possible, we will hold until ready.
- One person chatted: My school does not seem like a good match for this program.
- Nicholas: Tables motion with caveat that Wayne Lilly will come back when the school year is closer to starting officially. Barbara seconds.
- Role Call: Passes
  - Paul - Yes
  - Nick - Yes
  - Loraine
  - Nora - Yes
  - Elaine - Yes
  - Dolce
  - Samantha - Yes
  - Tina - Yes
  - Barbara - Yes
  - Ben - Yes
  - Seth - Yes
  - Anthony - Yes
  - Imran - Yes
  - Ianthe - Yes
  - JErEMY - Yes
  - Maebe - Yes

- Lena - Yes
  - Scott - Yes
  - Sarah - Yes
- Homelessness committee - Barbara seconded
  - Samantha: Author a community statement regarding CIS. A great deal of police involvement in homeless outreach in all levels. The council recommends options to introduce an unarmed model where LAPD are not the first responders. Homelessness committee voted and approved this community impact statement in the agenda. Special enforcement zones, LAPD consistent appearance, expensive and want to note there are other potential options.
  - Comments/Questions
    - Imran: Point of clarification - LA city council voted unanimously to replace officers with unarmed crisis response teams for non-violent calls. Unsure of relation, but is related to homelessness. May be a point of strength.
    - Samantha: Additional comment - Cost of LAPD overtime being used for special enforcement zone, which this fixes, is \$8.4 Million.
    - Maebe: I am on the homelessness committee and voted in favor of this. It really speaks to the movement that is going on right now and the protests that are happening. This is a good first step forward, but not an end all be all solved.
    - Barbara: I'd like to suggest a word be inserted in the last paragraph first line, adding "General Funds", as the city budget is a whole lot larger than where the general funds go.
      - Motion to amend the CIS - Barbara - Seconded by Ianthe. - Passes
        - Paul - Yes
        - Nick - Yes
        - Nora - Yes
        - Elaine - Yes
        - Samantha - Yes
        - Tina - Yes
        - Barbara - Yes
        - Ben - Yes
        - Seth - Yes
        - Anthony - Yes
        - Imran - Yes
        - Ianthe - Yes
        - Maebe - Yes
        - Jeremey - Yes
        - Lena - Yes
        - Scott - Yes
        - Sarah - Yes
  - Role call for amended motion - Passes
    - Paul - Yes
    - Nick - Yes
    - Nora - Yes
    - Elaine - Yes
    - Samantha - Yes

- Tina - Yes
  - Barbara - Yes
  - Ben - Yes
  - Seth - Yes
  - Anthony - Yes
  - Imran - Yes
  - Ianthe - Yes
  - Jeremey - Yes
  - Maebe - Yes
  - Lena - Yes
  - Scott - Yes
  - Sarah - Yes
- Slow Street program information via fliers - Paul seconded by Barbara
    - Paul Emmons: Slow streets program involves closing specific residential only streets for more walking space for social distancing during Covid-19. We recommended streets but the city will put forward what streets are actually approved. This will help inform the homes and people around the streets.
    - Comments/Questions:
      - Scott: General update - In contact with LADOT, slow streets program now has well over 200 applications. 2 people working on the program, therefore slow moving forward. We will not know when streets will be selected.
      - Jeremey: Question - What type of funding for outreach is coming from LADOT, if any?
        - Scott: LADOT does not have any budget for outreach for this project. The currently implemented areas had funding put forward by neighborhood council or sponsoring party.
      - Maebe: Outreach budget update: \$1,000 total.
      - Imran: Transportation feedback on what recommended streets.(Imran to send those streets to specific email.)
        - Paul: Deadline for streets has already passed.
        - Scott: LADOT when they get back to us may not want to include specific streets we recommended, at which point we can add other streets we want.
      - Nicholas: If we support this motion, 1.) who will design and create the flyer, and 2.) once it's designed, can we put a motion to support a local business for printing.
        - Paul: We have some committee individuals familiar with graphics who will work on it. We will do our best to use a local printing company, however we have the ability to get flyers printed for free via the city. Hoping the cost involved is minimal.
      - Comment from the public: Slow street motion did not come out of the transportation committee.
        - Paul: That is correct, this is a motion that Paul is making as a council motion.
    - Motion to vote: - Passes
      - Richard - Yes

- Nick - Yes
  - Nora- Yes
  - Elaine - Yes
  - Samantha - Yes
  - Tina - Yes
  - Barbara - Yes
  - Ben - Yes
  - Seth - Yes
  - Anthony - Yes
  - Imran - Yes
  - Ianthe - Yes
  - Jeremey - Yes
  - Maebe - Yes
  - Lena - Yes
  - Scott - Yes
  - Sarah - Yes
- Send attached letters endorsing people's budget as well as sending actual people's budget to city council/mayor's office. Nicholas seconded by Maebe. 8:25 PM
    - Nicholas: Rev Anderson presented at last meeting. This is a piggyback motion for Maebe and Sarah to get us to vote on People's budget. Individuals wanted to look at the people's budget before voting on it.
    - Comments/Questions:
      - Maebe: Important motion brought up last meeting and previous meeting. Speaks to a lot of what is happening across the city. Speaks nationwide. People demanding people's budget are enacted in LA.
      - Nora: Board members wanted to know more at a previous meeting. Important to consider something thoroughly when presented with the proper information.
      - Sarah: Budgets are value space documents and indicate the priorities of a community and where they want to spend money. Encourage councilmembers to look at the value and vision it adds. Think of our vote as an advisory vote to the city.
      - Anthony: Concerns about content and how it is being presented. Personally I did a deep dive - Concerns are - Does not represent city as a whole, especially black and brown people, the single largest zip code was 90026 (silverlakes). Primarily distributed to people who are supportive of the organizations who distributed it. I like the direction it goes and it is a value space proposition, but last concern is it's very vague. There needs to be a program attached, infrastructure, etc. Less of a fixed budget and more of an aspirational document.
    - Role: - Motion carries.
      - Paul - Yes
      - Nick - Yes
      - Nora - Yes
      - Elaine - abstain
      - Samantha - Yes
      - Tina - abstain
      - Barbara - Yes

- Ben - Yes
  - Seth - Yes
  - Anthony - abstain
  - Imran - abstain
  - Ianthe - Yes
  - Jeremey - Yes
  - Maebe - Yes
  - Lena - Yes
  - Scott - Yes
  - Sarah - Yes
- Condemn police violence happening in our city, call on the mayor to look into police brutality and maltreatment. Seconded by Jeremy.
    - Amanda: Want to make sure we are holding police accountable for brutality that happened during protests. Videos of proof showing the brutality, and most likely many incidents that have not been recorded. Two pieces of critical information to show we are taking it seriously: Release name and badge number at or near protests. Also, Release total cost of the police presence at this protest, including pay, judgement cases, settlements, etc. LAPD litigation costs accounted for 42% in 2005-2018.
    - Comments/questions:
      - Joel: In support of this motion as I have seen this first hand. In almost all instances, it has been instigated by police not protestors. Need to push to the fullest extent we can to show we care most about the health and safety of the public.
      - Paul: These incidents and incidents like them are automatically investigated, which is confirmed by the popular press, is that true?
        - Amanda: I am unsure where things are with the investigation, to me the intention is as a neighborhood to make our voice heard. Need to keep pressure on that it is not just an investigation that goes away.
      - Maebe: I support this motion fully: I can answer Paul's question in that no they are not being investigated. I was a victim of police brutality, I was tear gassed while helping a tear gas victim. No, those cases are not being investigated. It is being unreported, and I am proof of that. I would not believe it had I not been there.
      - Imran: In support of this motion and the effect of these protests is widespread. I had to start working as an attorney for someone at these protests and left a meeting due to it. Large amount of confusion due to poor handling of this entire situation. This will require a large scale investigation.
      - Seth: There is a council motion that was submitted by ad hoc police committee for police reform. The move was for more information on how the department was looking into police misconduct. File number 20-0778. City council voted on July 2nd and it passed with all Yes except the current vacant seat.
      - Nick: Clarification on this motion - We would like to be a collector of the stories, like Maebe's, so that we have true stories of these situations to

move people and show detail. This will help craft the narrative of the community.

- Motion to amend by Nicholas: adding “Submit a community impact statement condemning” - File number 20-0778. - amended again to transform into a letter as opposed to a CIS. Seconded by Sarah
  - Potentially problematic because it was not on the agenda as a CIS. Amanda’s motion implies action, with no direction, Nick proposes this action is in the form of a letter.
  - Role - Passes
    - Paul - Yes
    - Nick - Yes
    - Nora - Yes
    - Elaine - Yes
    - Samantha - Yes
    - Tina - Yes
    - Barbara - Yes
    - Ben - Yes
    - Seth - Yes
    - Anthony - Yes
    - Imran - Yes
    - Ianthe - Yes
    - Jeremey - Yes
    - Maebe - Yes
    - Lena - Yes
    - Scott - Yes
    - Sarah - Yes
  - Amended Role - Passes
    - Paul - Yes
    - Nick - Yes
    - Nora - Yes
    - Elaine - Yes
    - Samantha - Yes
    - Tina - Yes
    - Barbara - Yes
    - Ben - Yes
    - Seth - Yes
    - Anthony - Yes
    - Imran - Yes
    - Ianthe - Yes
    - Jeremey - Yes
    - Maebe - Yes
    - Lena - Yes
    - Scott - Yes
    - Sarah - Yes
- Submit letter to LAUSD - Seconded by Nick
  - Nora: Power and Equity clarification. Advise LAUSD and reallocate money from police force from municipal police and school police. Having schools free of police is an issue of equity. How are we advocating for resources to be spent and

keep our students safe? Who is considered dangerous and who is considered safe? This currently negatively impacts our black and students of color. Schooling without policing needs to be adjusted and how can we use our power for this.

■ Comments/Question

- Lena: School safety is near and dear to me, this is an important topic that I strongly support. Cannot condone criminalizing children/students, specifically students of color.
  - Paul: As co-chair of transportation city committee, and liaison to LAPD, my role is to implement and pass on the views of the community and the council - I will therefore abstain from voting on this motion.
  - Maebe: I support this motion and think it is fantastic. Protests attended for LAUSD students to defund LAPD within schools. Police in school is a perpetuation of the school to prison system.
  - Jeremy: In support of the concept, but there is a question in this day and age of school shootings and how we solve for that. How will this play into the fact of removing police from schools?
    - Nora: Was in attendance on June 23rd for the comments from all people close to the school system. Superintendent has enacted a task force, when you take apart the police force at school, what do you do? The concept is conceptualizing safety. Woven into the system there is school police and municipal police. If there is a shooting or other incident, municipal police will be involved. There isn't evidence that the school police are keeping mass shootings out of LAUSD, there is evidence of how students are disproportionately affected.
  - Anthony: I don't agree with this motion. I understand the intent and notion behind it, however those discrepancies and disparities come down to subconscious bias and racism. Reallocating resources is important, but this may not be the best way.
  - Jeremy motion to amend: If Nora is open. Add language in the letter to specifically state, additional training in the event of school/mass shootings.
    - Nora: Open to amending, that makes sense.
- Nicholas: Motion put forward to send this to the newly created ad hoc police reform committee. The language needs to be massaged, which is the ad hoc police reforms specialty. Seconded by Ben.
- Role:
    - Paul - Yes
    - Nick - Yes
    - Nora - No
    - Elaine - Yes
    - Samantha - Yes
    - Tina - Yes
    - Barbara - Yes
    - Ben - Yes
    - Seth - Yes
    - Anthony - Yes

- Imran - Yes
  - Ianthe - Yes
  - Jeremey - Yes
  - MAebe - No
  - Lena - No
  - Scott - Yes
  - Sarah - Yes
- Discussion/action involving complaints of racial discrimination at Tenants of the Trees.
  - Anthony moves to have a community meeting and that it would be a good forum to get more specifics. - Seconded by Ianthe.
    - Comments/Questions:
      - Debbie: my recommendation is that this should be hosted and monitored by the business committee.
      - Samantha: Background on motion - correct in assumption that business and community should be involved in the discussion. Ways to potentially resolve could be a conflict resolution meeting.
      - Maebe: Bringing this up is to introduce the idea. I did not expect us to come up with a solution tonight, but wanted it in the public spectrum.
      - Nicholas: Best way to move forward would be to put this on the August agenda as a Robust Discussion.
      - Maebe: Read statement from the petition on change.org that was directed at the silverlake neighborhood council.
      - Anthony: This discussion could take upwards of 30-40 minutes, and may not have a place in our general board discussions. This was created by an anonymous person, and if there is evidence we need to hear it see it and know it. Allegations need support.
      - Ianthe: I support Anthony's idea (Debbie's idea). I suggest we push the information out through other committees.
    - Send this to the local business committee with the attention of the outreach committee and UDP committee.
  - Move to extend meeting to 10: seconded by Maebe:
    - Paul - Yes
    - Nick - Yes
    - Nora - Yes
    - Elaine - Yes
    - Samantha - Yes
    - Tina - Yes
    - Barbara - Yes
    - Ben - Yes
    - Seth - Yes
    - Anthony - Yes
    - Imran - Yes
    - Ianthe - Yes
    - Jeremey - Yes
    - Maebe - Yes
    - Lena - Yes

- Scott - Yes
  - Sarah - Yes
- Office of climate emergency mobilization and commission - Ianthe seconds.
  - Sarah: Motion coming from Lisa Hart, neighborhood council of sustainability lead. Motion is asking to restore funding of \$200,000 to the climate crisis foundation. Motion to maintain funding and maintenance for trees. I believe there is a need for an edit to the letter.
  - Sarah moves to edit the letter: Funding has been restored, cross out the phrase at the start. Seconded by Ianthe
    - Role:
      - Paul - Yes
      - Nick - Yes
      - Nora - Yes
      - Elaine - Yes
      - Samantha - Yes
      - Tina - Yes
      - Barbara - Yes
      - Ben - Yes
      - Seth - Yes
      - Anthony - Yes
      - Imran - Yes
      - Ianthe - Yes
      - Jeremey - Yes
      - Maebe - Yes
      - Lena - Yes
      - Scott - Yes
      - Sarah - Yes
    - Amended motion
      - Paul - Yes
      - Nick - Yes
      - Nora - Yes
      - Elaine - Yes
      - Samantha - Yes
      - Tina - Yes
      - Barbara - Yes
      - Ben - Yes
      - Seth - Yes
      - Anthony - Yes
      - Imran - Yes
      - Ianthe - Yes
      - Jeremey - Yes
      - Maebe - Yes
      - Lena - Yes
      - Scott - Yes
      - Sarah - Yes
- Silverlake anti-racism resolution adaptation - Seconded by Ben.
  - Maebe: Everything happening in the world leads to this statement being needed. \*Then read statement.

- Comments/questions:
      - Amanda Lasher: Great motion and I support it.
      - Joel: Fully support this motion.
      - Ben: I would love to talk about what more we can do, more than just a statement being posted.
    - Role:
      - Paul - Yes
      - Nick - Yes
      - Nora - Yes
      - Elaine - Yes
      - Samantha - Yes
      - Tina - Yes
      - Barbara - Yes
      - Ben - Yes
      - Seth - Yes
      - Anthony - Yes
      - Imran - Yes
      - Ianthe - Yes
      - Jeremey - Yes
      - Maebe - Yes
      - Lena - Yes
      - Scott - Yes
      - Sarah - Yes
  - Homelessness committee - discussion/action regarding LA homelessness authority relating to Covid-19. Seconded by Maebe.
    - Samantha: Response to preliminary numbers from 2020 homeless # count. (Before Covid-19 started). Large increases citywide and county wide. There will continue to be a large increase with this crisis. Letter is meant to be distributed to the mayor and city council members. Can invest in social services.
    - Comments/Questions
      - Maebe: Voted in support of this motion and I hope everyone recognizes the dire circumstances the entire city is going through.
      - Samantha: Had a couple typos that needed to be corrected - will be done via amendment. Typo corrections on 2nd line, at beginning of 4th line, end of 1st paragraph, 3rd paragraph font difference.
        - Paul - technical issues do not need a vote.
    - Role:
      - Paul - Yes
      - Nick - Yes
      - Nora - Yes
      - Elaine - Yes
      - Samantha - Yes
      - Tina - Yes
      - Barbara - Yes
      - Ben - Yes
      - Seth - Yes
      - Anthony - abstain
      - Imran - Yes

- Ianthe - Yes
- Jeremey - Yes
- Maebe - Yes
- Lena - Yes
- Scott - Yes
- Sarah - Yes
- Submit attached CIS opposing Joe B's motion to arm Park Rangers - Nora seconds.
  - Maebe: Came out in Feb. Not critical at this moment. Would like to vote on it as soon as possible, but city council has taken a moment to vote on an issue like this. \*Read CIS\*
  - Comments/Questions
    - Joel: First time I'm hearing this resolution and it sounds ridiculous. Especially in a place like a public park, things need to become less armed, not more armed.
    - Ianthe: Are the statistics correct (city wide not countywide).
      - Maebe: Yes they are correct, and they count statistics as they state Less than and About.
    - Ianthe: Motion to use more specific statistics mentioned in previous motion by Samantha. Seconded by Samantha:
      - Comment by Anthony: Stats are accurate, as County is a subset of the City. Less than and about are proper terminology and accurate. Previous letter says it mixes city and county together. Whereas this one just speaks of the city.
      - Samantha: I got the Stats from the LA Times article. They may change a little bit and are not perfect numbers.
      - Nick: Language is sufficient, Ianthe withdraws motion.
    - Paul: Time check - 6 minutes remaining. Because of my role, I am abstaining from voting.
    - Nora: Wanted to comment that there are a number of CIS connected to this file, Los Feliz's on June 3rd specifically, where they strongly oppose park rangers being armed.
  - Role:
    - Nick- Yes
    - Nora - Yes
    - Elaine - Yes
    - Samantha - Yes
    - Tina - Yes
    - Barbara - Yes
    - Ben - Yes
    - Seth - Yes
    - Anthony - Yes
    - Imran - Yes
    - Ianthe - Yes
    - Jeremey - Yes
    - Maebe - Yes
    - Lena - Yes
    - Scott - Yes
    - Sarah - Yes

- Meeting adjourned at 9:56 PM PST.